Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI)
Volume 11, Issue 04, April 2020: 2561-2569
DOI: 10.53555/tojqi.v11i4.10222

Research Article

Harmony in Expertise: Orchestrating HumanResources for Optimal Knowledge Management Impact

Dr Zoofishan Hayat[1]*, Muhammad Ahmad[2]

Abstract: This article seeks to demonstrate the benefits of employing an integrative methodology that merges the management of human resources (HRM) with knowledge management (KM). By combining these two areas of expertise, they can synergistically enhance and bolster one another, leading to enhanced organizational efficiency and achievement. This compilation comprises a series of scholarly articles that investigate the interconnectedness between (KM) knowledge management and (HRM) human resource management, while also presenting empirical evidence to substantiate this relationship. The writers are convinced that the articles will provide both captivating and valuable reading material, while also contributing to the growth and improvement of current research on the association between Km and HRM. The present analysis does not provide a comprehensive examination of the interrelationships between Human Resource Management (HRM) and Knowledge Management (KM). Nevertheless, it serves as an effective initial endeavor towards exploring this subject matter. Despite the significant similarities between Human Resource Management (HRM) and Knowledge Management (KM), there is a scarcity of research that explicitly establishes a connection between the two. The paper presents a valuable resource of information and pragmatic guidance regarding the potential benefits of integrating the two disciplines to improve organizational performance. This article addresses a notable void in the current body of scholarly work, catering to the needs of both researchers and professionals by examining the advantages of integrating KM with HRM.

Keywords: Knowledge management; Human resource management; Association

Introduction

The aim of the research is to illustrate the alignment of Knowledge management with human resource management as well as the advantages of adopting a comprehensive methodology that places the employee at the focal point. The domains include knowledge management, human resource management and associated disciplines, including the administration of intellectual resources and communication leadership, all focus on the growing significance of knowledge in modern organizations and the global economy. While these areas of study examine the subject from different perspectives, there is a belief that a more effective strategy could be achieved by integrating these various angles. This concept has been recently proposed and substantiated by empirical evidence by multiple authors (Mårtensson, 2000; McInerney, 2002; Ferdows and Das, 2010). Alavi and Leidner (2001) discovered that the association of knowledge management and human resource management within organizations might enhance the innovation approach. Additionally, Barclay (2006) argues that the optimal strategy for addressing the theoretical and practical complexities of knowledge management involves adopting a multifaceted and diverse approach. Based on their research, prioritizing human elements emerges as the most critical and complex practical issue in comprehending and progressing in the discipline of knowledge management (KM). Similarly, Pollalis and Dimitriou (2008) offer a critique of scholars for their failure to adopt robust and systematic

[1] *School of Computing, Engineering and Physical Sciences University of the West of Scotland
Zoofishan.hayat@uws.ac.uk
[2] School of Business and Creative Industries University of The West of Scotland
Muhammad.aahmad@outlook.com

techniques in establishing a complete theoretical framework that connects knowledge management with human resource management. Ishak et al. (2010) assert that the primary emphasis in knowledge management should be on individuals and the influence exerted by human resource management on knowledge management operations. They further contend that knowledge management can be regarded as an advanced iteration of HRM.

In response to the aforesaid reasons, a global seminar titled "HRM in the knowledge- based economy" was convened in Ljubljana in June 2004. The primary focus of the seminar revolved around the examination of how Human Resource Management (HRM) can, has the potential to, and should support organizations that are founded on knowledge and the economy in its entirety.

The underlying concept posited that there should be a closer integration between Human Resource Management (HRM) and Knowledge Management (KM). Three articles from the conference were utilized for the purpose of this special edition. Furthermore, we enlisted four additional participants via an open solicitation process to enhance the breadth of research conducted on the association between knowledge management and human resource management. The publications under consideration are predominantly empirical in nature, with each study specifically examining a distinct facet of knowledge management and human resource management. The conceptualizations, methodologies, and discoveries presented in their work highlight the significance of employing an interdisciplinary approach. Therefore, prior to presenting a synopsis of each study, we will initially highlight certain criteria that should be considered for each paper, as effectively as the connections between the two organisational domains of knowledge management and HRM.

Knowledge Management

In contrast to the somewhat ambiguous nature of human resource management, there exists a multitude of concepts pertaining to knowledge. However, like the field of human resource management, the concepts of knowledge and its management often lack comprehensiveness due to the elusive nature of the subject matter (Awad, 2007). In addition, it is worth noting that a widely accepted basis for knowing has not yet been established (Kefela ,2010, pp.160-166). The study of knowledge has been characterized by a significant differentiation between two perspectives. The first perspective, known as the constructivist approach, views knowledge as a subjective condition that exists.

within the intellects of people and is also embedded within groups and societies (Mcelroy, 2010). The second perspective, referred to as the objectivist approach, conceptualizes knowledge as an objective state that exists independently of individuals and their subjective experiences (Ruggles, 1998). The contrast mentioned here aligns, to a certain degree, with the differentiation between implicit and explicit understanding (Nonaka, 2002), as well as soft and hard knowledge (Huang et al., 2016), and background and foreground information (Hult, 2003).

The advocates of the second perspective contend that knowledge management is a deliberate approach aimed at effectively disseminating pertinent knowledge to appropriate individuals in a timely manner. Furthermore, it involves facilitating the sharing and utilization of information in ways that aim to enhance organizational performance (Gold et al., 2001). According to Kauppinen (2014), knowledge can be regarded as a commodity that is subject to trade. Additionally, Nico (2020, pp.40-51) emphasizes the need of managing knowledge. Supporters of the initial perspective base their arguments on the distinction between information and knowledge. Farooq (2022) posits that there exists a distinction between knowledge, data, and information. Data refers to unprocessed and unorganized facts, whereas information is the result of organizing and structuring these data.

Knowledge can be referred to as meaningful and significant information. According to Yesil and Dereli (2013) it is argued that the aspects of knowledge that are seen most significant cannot be treated as an entity that can be transferred to others. Pfau (2015) argues that knowledge is inherently connected to human awareness, but information, messages, and visuals are confined to memory mediums.

According to Firman (2023), it is contended that knowledge management (KM) is more than simply managing knowledge; rather, it involves transforming the overall business strategies and approaches of organizations to prioritize education and collaboration. While many elements of knowledge, such as culture, the framework of an organization, means of communication, and information, can be effectively managed, the concept of knowledge itself is debatably beyond the realm of management. Therefore, individuals could oversee or facilitate the progression of learning compared to solely focusing on the management of knowledge. Pellegrini, Ciampi, Marzi and Orlando (2020, pp.1445-1492) assert that the management of knowledge is an impractical endeavor due to its contextual sensitivity and fallible enactment.

The constructivist perspective recognises the presence of knowledge not just at the individual level, but also within the communal environment of many sections, organisations, and communities (Doval, 2015). The generation and retention of knowledge inside an organisation is not just attributed to staff members but is also influenced by interpersonal connections and deeply embedded within the internal structure of organisational members (Hvizdová et al., 2012). Abu-Alsondos (2023), claim that information inside organizations frequently becomes ingrained not simply in written materials and storage systems, but also in the established patterns, procedures, behaviors, and standards of the organization. According to Malhotra (1998), knowledge management (KM) refers to the set of organizational processes designed to facilitate the integration of human creativity and information processing capabilities through information technology.

The differentiation between knowledge as an object and knowledge as an attitude cannot be conceptualized in terms of a binary choice. The knowledge process is an integral component of the knowledge management (KM) system. This process encompasses four key stages, as outlined by the OECD (2001), which are best understood through a participatory rather than inverse method. The initial phase entails acquiring knowledge, which centers on seeking out and choosing of data and information from multiple sources, in addition to strategies for effectively disseminating this knowledge to both individuals and organizations.
The second stage emphasizes generating knowledge, emphasizing the progression and accumulation of innovative information. The next stage encompasses the transference of knowledge, distribution, communication, and collaboration, with the aim of promptly delivering pertinent information to the right people, groups, and organizations. Finally, the final stage involves the use and execution of knowledge in diverse contexts, representing the goal for both business organizations and structures, and the human resource operating within it.

Human resource management

Locating concise and unambiguous definitions of human resource management proves to be a challenging endeavor. According to Stewart and Brown (2019), a conventional handbook often provides a definition of HRM as the act of overseeing and controlling a company's personnel. According to Armstrong (2000), HRM can be defined as a strategic approach to managing staff that focuses on the procurement, arrangement, and simulation of human resources. According to (Mathis, Jackson, Valentine, and Meglich (2017) Mathis et al. (2017), the comprehension of Human Resource Management (HRM) is contingent upon the perspective adopted. Human Resource Management (HRM) can be understood and categorized in various ways. It can be seen as a continuation of conventional human resource management approaches, as a combination of personnel management and workplace relationships, as a framework that emphasizes the importance of human resources in the employment association, or as an integral component of strategic management. Regarding this matter, Human Resource Management (HRM) encompasses the administration of employees, including their interpersonal relationships and their relationships with the organization.
An essential component of human management (HRM) pertains to the perception and treatment of individuals and their interpersonal relationships as essential resources. This perspective can be viewed from both positive and negative angles. On the contrary, resources are frequently regarded as

disposable, while on the bright side, resources are recognized as indispensable resources that are vital to the outstanding accomplishments of any enterprise. According to the resource-based view proposed by Collins (2021), employees possess valuable and rare capacities that make them coveted and tangible resources for organizations. These capabilities are considered highly valued and limited, unique, irreplaceable, and appropriable, as discussed by Progoulaki and Theotokas (2010).

According to P Progoulaki and Theotokas (2010), organisations possess the capacity to acquire a competitive edge by attracting and maintaining exceptional individuals who possess valuable implicit expertise, so creating a reservoir of extraordinary human potential. The positive impact of organizational processes, on the contrary, can be comprehended because of traditionally developed, culturally intricate, and temporally indeterminate procedures, such as collaborative learning within teams and collaboration across different functions. These processes are highly challenging to replicate. The concept of "human resource advantage" can be attributed to the presence of superior individuals within organizations that possess enhanced processes (Progoulaki and Theotokas, 2010).

There are two key considerations to bear in mind. Firstly, it is important to note that Human Resource Management (HRM) is not solely concerned with the direct management of individuals, but rather focuses on managing their personal and interpersonal attributes within the context of groups and the organization. These attributes can be viewed as valuable resources that can contribute to the organization's competitive advantage. Secondly, it is crucial to recognize that human resources are obtained not just through hiring and evaluation procedures, but also established internally through investments in their personal capabilities. Furthermore, these resources are effectively utilized by fostering positive interpersonal and inter-group relationships.

Another crucial aspect to consider in our discourse is the composition of human resources, encompassing their organizational structure and the dynamics of their evolution.

Savanevičienė et al. (2008) assert that individuals are assessed based on their competences, knowledge, skills, flexibility, networking abilities, and accomplishments. One of the essential elements that has gained significant emphasis is knowledge. As stated by P Powell and Snellman (2004), the fundamental economic resource has shifted from capital, natural resources, and labor to knowledge. The distinguishing factor between work results is in the proportion of embedded knowledge (Brown et al., 2003). O'Donnell et al. (2003) investigated on the Irish ICT sector and discovered that over two-thirds of the estimated organizational value is attributed to intellectual capital. Furthermore, more than half of this capital originates directly from the activities of individuals engaged in work, cognition, and communication.

Concurrent Integration of Human Resource Management and Knowledge Management

Comparing the mentioned qualities of knowledge management with human resource management as previously described, several observations may be derived. The association between Km and HRM is evident when considering the successful management of people and the recognition of knowledge as an essential resource. Furthermore, it is worth noting that KM and HRM exhibit similarities in their respective activities and objectives. This is particularly evident in their efforts to establish work units, teams, and foster cross-functional collaboration. Additionally, both HRM and KM prioritize effective communication channels and networks within the organization, extending beyond its boundaries.

Upon doing a comparative analysis between the KM cycle and HRM processes, it becomes evident that there exist some tasks that are common between the two domains. The process of knowledge acquisition involves the recruitment of exceptional individuals and facilitating their personal and professional development. Furthermore, it entails fostering employee participation in organisational associations and areas of execution that transcend organizational boundaries (Garavan et al., 2007). The progression of knowledge construction is facilitated by establishing a conducive atmosphere that promotes the necessary human resource management practices. This environment encourages people, groups, and teams to engage with organizational challenges, actively seek answers to these challenges, and foster innovation. The process encompasses the establishment of posts and teams, followed by the implementation of information feedback channels, and culminates in the development

of incentivizing remuneration structures and other motivational systems. Additionally, it encompasses investments directed towards the development and enhancement of human capital. Information transfer encompasses a range of learning processes, the cultivation of an environment conducive to information collaborating, the establishment of instruction units responsible for assessing and analyzing training requirements, delivering, and evaluating training programs, and ultimately fostering the development of learning organizations (Senge, 1994). Knowledge use includes the effective allocation of human resources through the execution of appropriate leadership practices, project and responsibility section, compensation systems, and performance evaluation.

Identifying a discipline where Km and HRM do not converge presents a challenging task. One potential area of focus could be the management of embedded knowledge; however, it could be argued that this falls under the purview of information management rather than knowledge management. However, it gives the impression that the process of encoding knowledge and expressing it in a clear and plain manner may extend transcend mere information management. Additionally, the concept of codification is commonly linked to the process of abstraction, with the aim of facilitating efficient dissemination (Svetlik and Stavrou-Costea, 2007, pp.197-206). Therefore, the management of knowledge and the management of human resources, while distinct ideas, are undeniably closely interconnected. Hislop (2003), expands upon this assertion by proposing that KM is a more intricate concept compared to HRM due to its involvement in the management of ownership of intellectual property, as well as the cultivation and dissemination of individual and organizational knowledge. However, it is important to note that information cannot be efficiently handled in isolation, separate from individuals, and vice versa.

Consequently, the two fields of study exhibit a strong interconnectedness and a significant level of mutual reliance. Through this comparative analysis, we put forth a comprehensive method that seeks to integrate both disciplines. This proposed approach aims to enhance knowledge generation in both disciplines while simultaneously enhancing the overall performance of organizations. If human resource management does not effectively engage the management of knowledge and fails to adapt its theories and procedures to the intricate structure of understanding, it runs the risk of straying from its intended course. The remark above pertains to knowledge management (KM) when it neglects to give importance to the essential management of staff, their interpersonal connections, and their ties with their organisations. The focus in the discipline of Knowledge Management (KM) should be on people, particularly examining the impact of human resource management on the implementation and execution of KM initiatives. The primary duties of human resource management are supervising, evaluating, and interfering in the development, execution, distribution, and utilisation of employee expertise (Guest and Woodrow, 2012). Donate and Guadamillas (2015) have already attempted to provide empirical proof of the relationship between HRM, KM, and business strategies. Our objective in this specific matter is to improve the current assistance by conducting comparable research.

The studies

Based on the preceding discourse, we therefore provide the many papers featured in this which exemplify the advantages of incorporating knowledge management and human resource management. The primary paper, written by Zupan and Kase, examines the structural functions of supervisors and HR professionals, often known as human resource actors, in relational systems that support the generation and distribution of knowledge. The authors also examine the possible consequences of these findings for the formulation and implementation of human resources practises in knowledge-driven enterprises. This study presents a compelling analysis that highlights the central positioning of line managers who also serve as HR actors within the analyzed knowledge networks, in contrast to HR professionals who do not occupy such a central role. The findings suggest that the implementation of a decentralized approach to human resource management (HRM) at knowledge driven enterprises has the potential to yield positive outcomes. Moreover, the study illustrates that HRM can impact the process of generating and disseminating knowledge by implementing human resources procedures

through supervisors who occupy influential positions in the organisation.
The ensuing content, written by Lundvall and Nielsen, discusses the deployment of "acquiring knowledge organisations" as a crucial element of knowledge management, specifically in enterprises functioning in marketplaces where technological creativity is a major factor in competition. The authors believe that the widespread utilization of information expands the capacity to systematize knowledge. However, this phenomenon concurrently diminishes the availability of tacit knowledge and serves substantially in the facilitation of what is referred to as a "learning economy." The authors substantiate their claim by conducting an empirical analysis that showcases how companies that implement many human resource management techniques associated with the learning organization exhibit higher levels of innovation compared to the average company. HRM serves as a substantial part in the generation and advancement of information.

The third article by Chiva, Alegre, and Lapiedra, focuses on the assessment of organizational learning within the employee population. This paper presents a comprehensive account on the advancement and authentication process of a diagnostic tool designed to assess the organizational inclination towards learning. The authors argue that the existing body of research lacks a comprehensive understanding of this aspect. The authors suggest a framework consisting of five criteria that serve as fundamental variables in determining an organization's aptitude for learning. The aspects encompassed in this context involve the process of undertaking investigations, taking calculated risks, actively participating in the environmental setting engaging in discussions, and being actively involved in the decision-making process. This application could be linked to a dynamic training approach used in organisations or can be used to improve knowledge. The five aspects can serve as a valuable emphasis for projects aimed at transforming organisations.

Aligned with the literature, this paper transitions its focus towards the attainment of organizational competitive advantage. Specifically, the fifth article in this publication examines the proactive improvement of competency modeling and its utilization in facilitating strategic change. This approach aids in enhancing communication, comprehension of business objectives, and the integration of novel behaviors, positions, and capabilities within the organization. The study conducted by Vakola, Soderquist, and Prastacos focuses on the significant role of capabilities in seamlessly integrating various human resource management activities within an organization. The authors highlight the significance of converting an organisation approach into the precise capabilities needed to effectively execute and maintain that plan at the operational levels of the organisation. Vakola and her colleagues conducted a case study to demonstrate the efficacy of their proposed technique in incorporating competences into the new organisational strategy. This approach effectively emphasizes job-related skills and maintains a level of adaptation, while also ensuring that the areas and competencies remain broad and applicable.

In the subsequent paper, Chang and Yeh go into the examination of the correlation between information sharing among members involved in new product development within high technology Taiwanese enterprises, team-based joint reward systems, and organizational citizenship behaviors, so contributing to the overall depth of this special issue. The researchers also examine the role in imagining procedural equity in facilitating the relationship between joint reward systems and organizational citizenship behavior. This emphasizes the significance of perceived procedural justice in incentivizing organizational citizenship behavior and subsequently leading to improved efficiency during developing new products.
The study suggests that there is a positive relationship between organizational culture and new product development performance. Specifically, when an organization fosters a culture that encourages innovation, collaboration, and risk-taking, employees are more likely to display behaviors that contribute to good outcomes for creating new products.
In this study, Cegarra-Navarro and Martinez-Conesa present a theoretical framework that explores the association between KM and the adoption of e-business, with a particular emphasis placed on

micro and small to medium-sized organisations. It has been determined that for e-business to achieve success, organizations must ensure the provision and facilitation of knowledge collection, collaborating, and implementation. The authors additionally discover that organizations must exercise caution in avoiding excessive investment in technologies while neglecting the allocation of resources towards processes, such as HRM procedures, that allow the generation of knowledge. Finally, Lin concludes this special issue by analysing the influence of satisfaction, self-perceived proficiency, top management encouragement, organisational rewards, and the use of knowledge and interpersonal interaction on understanding the development of exceptional firm innovation competence.

Conclusion and practical Implications

This study highlights the important connection between employee incentive to attend to and acquire information, emphasizing their function as catalysts for enhancing an organization's capacity for innovation. The results presented here strongly confirm that a workforce that is motivated and actively seeks to develop knowledge is the foundation on which a company's ability to innovate is built. This association highlights the undeniable importance of fostering a motivated group of employees and also illustrates the significant impact it has on the success of a firm in the always changing world of contemporary business. Moreover, this research goes beyond mere theoretical speculation by providing practical suggestions on how businesses might systematically foster a culture that promotes the sharing of knowledge. The core of these recommendations is their ability to act as a strategic guide for firms aiming to not only maintain but enhance their levels of innovation. This study goes beyond the boundaries of academics, providing a practical plan for firms to create an atmosphere where knowledge is shared and used effectively. This will help organizations to move towards a future characterized by creativity and a competitive edge. This paper emphasizes the need for organizations understanding the interdependent connection between knowledge management and human resource management. It highlights that the pursuit of innovation is closely tied to the motivation and effective utilization of the workforce's knowledge.

This work not only makes theoretical contributions but also has significant practical consequences for firms aiming to succeed in the ever-changing environment of modern business. Organizations can shift their strategic focus towards cultivating motivated employees by acknowledging the crucial significance of employee engagement and knowledge acquisition in generating innovation. Practical methodologies include talent development programs, training initiatives, and knowledge-sharing networks. Such approaches are important for motivating people to gain and use knowledge for creative reasons. Likewise, the suggestions provided in this study can be used as a guide for organizational leaders who aim to promote a culture of sharing knowledge. By following these tactics, companies can methodically cultivate a context where the smooth exchange of knowledge and skills becomes the standard. This not only enhances creativity but also strengthens the organization's ability to adapt to shifting market circumstances. The practical implications of this research lie in its ability to provide businesses with concrete tools and strategies to effectively utilize their intellectual capital. This positions them at the forefront of innovation and guarantees long-term success in today's competitive environment. This work provides significant scholarly contributions to the disciplines of knowledge management and human resource management. Firstly, it adds to the increasing amount of empirical data on the relationship between staff motivation, knowledge acquisition, and innovation. Through empirical validation of these links, the study not only strengthens existing theoretical frameworks but also enhances the academic discourse, establishing a strong basis for future research in these domains.

Moreover, this study explores the complex dynamics of knowledge transfer inside companies, elucidating the elements that either help or impede this process. These discoveries are extremely significant for both researchers and practitioners, since they enhance our comprehension of how knowledge is transferred within organizational settings and provide possible opportunities for further scholarly investigation. This study effectively closes the divide between theory and practice by offering specific suggestions for promoting a culture of information sharing. Its findings are

extremely applicable to both academia and the business community. It provides a conceptual framework that can be used for future theoretical advancements and empirical investigations, enhancing the intellectual discussion on knowledge management and human resource management.

References

Abu-Alsondos, I. (2023). 'An empirical study of critical success factors in implementing knowledge management systems (KMS): The moderating role of culture'. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, Vol 11, No 4, pp. 1527–1538.

Alavi, M. and Leidner, D. E. (2001). 'Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS quarterly*, pages 107–136.

Awad, E. M. (2007). *Knowledge management*. Pearson Education India.

Barclay, I. (2006). 'Complexity theory and knowledge management application'. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol 4, No 1, pp. 21–30.

Brown, P., Hesketh, A., and Wiliams, S. (2003). 'Employability in a knowledge-driven economy'. *Journal of education and work*, Vol 16, No 2, pp. 107–126.

Collins, C. J. (2021). 'Expanding the resource-based view model of strategic human resource management'. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, Vol 32, No 2, pp. 331–358.

Donate, M. J. and Guadamillas, F. (2015). 'An empirical study on the relationships between knowledge management, knowledge-oriented human resource practices and innovation'. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, Vol 13, pp. 134–148.

Doval, E., 2015. A framework for knowledge management process. *Geopolitics, History, and International Relations*, *7*(2), pp.207-216.

Farooq, R. (2022). A review of knowledge management research in the past three decades: a bibliometric analysis. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*.

Collins, C.J., 2021. Expanding the resource based view model of strategic human resource management. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *32*(2), pp.331-358.

Ferdows, S. S. and Das, S. (2010). 'Knowledge management and human resource management'. *International Journal of Information Technology and Knowledge Management*, Vol 3, No 2, pp. 715–71.

Firman, A. (2023). 'Knowledge Management Implementation and Human Resource Development on Employee Performance'. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis*, Vol 10, No 1, pp. 221–234.

Garavan, T. N., Carbery, R., and Murphy, E. (2007). 'Managing intentionally created communities of practice for knowledge sourcing across organisational boundaries: Insights on the role of the CoP manager. The Learning Organization'. *An International Journal*, Vol 14, No 1, pp. 34–49.

Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A., and Segars, A. H. (2001). 'Knowledge management: An organizational capabilities perspective'. *Journal of management information systems*, Vol 18, No 1, pp. 185–214.

Guest, D. E. and Woodrow, C. (2012). 'Exploring the boundaries of human resource managers' responsibilities. *Journal of business ethics*, Vol 111, pp. 109–119.

Hislop, D., 2003. Linking human resource management and knowledge management via commitment: A review and research agenda. *Employee relations*, *25*(2), pp.182-202.

Huang, F., Gardner, S., and Moayer, S. (2016). 'Towards a framework for strategic knowledge management practice: Integrating soft and hard systems for competitive advantage'. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, Vol 46, No 4, pp. 492–507.

Hult, G.T.M., 2003. An integration of thoughts on knowledge management. *Decision sciences*, *34*(2), p.189.

Hvizdová, E., Miklosík, A., and Meciar, R. (2012). 'The measurement of impacts and effects of knowledge management in an enterprise'. *Studia Commercialia Bratislavensia*, Vol 5, No 19, pp. 369–369.

Ishak, N. B., Eze, U. C., and Ling, L. S. (2010). 'Integrating knowledge management and human resource management for sustainable performance'. *Journal of Organizational Knowledge Management*, pages 1–13.

Kauppinen, I. (2014). 'Different meanings of 'knowledge as commodity'in the context of higher education'. *Critical Sociology*, Vol 40, No 3, pp. 393–409.

Kefela, G.T., 2010. Knowledge-based economy and society has become a vital commodity to countries. *International NGO Journal*, *5*(7), pp.160-166.

Mårtensson, M. (2000). 'A critical review of knowledge management as a management tool'. *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol 4, No 3, pp. 204–216.

McInerney, C., 2002. Knowledge management and the dynamic nature of knowledge. *Journal of the American society for Information Science and Technology*, *53*(12), pp.1009-1018.

Mathis, R. L., Jackson, J. H., Valentine, S. R., and Meglich, P. A. (2017). *Human resource management*. Cengage learning.

Mathis, R.L. and Jackson, J.H., 2008. *Human resource management*. Thomson/South-western.

McElroy, M.W., 2010. *The new knowledge management*. Routledge.

Nico, S., 2020. Knowledge as a Public Good and Knowledge as a Commodity. *Epistemology & Philosophy of Science*, *57*(4), pp.40-51.

Pfau, T., 2015. *Minding the modern: human agency, intellectual traditions, and responsible knowledge*. University of Notre Dame Pess.

Pollalis, Y. A. and Dimitriou, N. K. (2008). 'Knowledge management in virtual enterprises: A systemic multi-methodology towards the strategic use of information'. *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol 28, No 4, pp. 305–321.

Powell, W. W. and Snellman, K. (2004). 'The knowledge economy'. *Annu. Rev. Sociol*, Vol 30, pp. 199–220.

Progoulaki, M. and Theotokas, I. (2010). 'Human resource management and competitive advantage: An application of resource-based view in the shipping industry'. *Marine Policy*, Vol 34, No 3, pp. 575–582.

Pellegrini, M.M., Ciampi, F., Marzi, G. and Orlando, B., 2020. The relationship between knowledge management and leadership: mapping the field and providing future research avenues. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *24*(6), pp.1445-1492.

Ruggles, R. (1998). 'The state of the notion: knowledge management in practice'. *California management review*, Vol 40, No 3, pp. 80–89.

Randeree, E., 2006. Knowledge management: securing the future. *Journal of knowledge management*, *10*(4), pp.145-156.

Svetlik, I. and Stavrou-Costea, E., 2007. Connecting human resources management and knowledge management. *International Journal of Manpower*, *28*(3/4), pp.197-206.

Savanevičienė, A., Stukaitė, D., and Šilingienė, V. (2008). *Development of strategic individual competences. Engineering economics*, No 3, pp. 58–58.

Stewart, G. L. and Brown, K. G. (2019). *Human resource management*. John Wiley & Sons.

Yeşil, S. and Dereli, S. F. (2013). 'An empirical investigation of the organisational justice, knowledge sharing and innovation capability'. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol 75, pp. 199–208.