Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI) Volume 12. Issue 4. June 2021: 1232-1238

The Effect of Utilizing Proleptic Teaching for Enhancing Rhetorical Features of Writing and Reducing Writing Anxiety of Iraqi EFL Learners

Nabaa Fadhil

nabaafsalh@yahoo.com

Abstract

This paper reports a study which investigated the effect of proleptic teaching to enhance writing rhetorical feature and reduce writing anxiety. This study is experimental research design with randomized control group design. The total number of participants was 87 learners who were in two different groups: experimental group and control group. There were 43 learners in the experimental group and 44 learners in the control group. The result of the pre-test showed that both the experimental group and the control group were equal and homogenous. Since the statistical computation yielded significant value p = 0.890 which was higher than $\alpha = 0.05$. The analysis of writing test in post-test yielded p = 0.027 which was smaller than $\alpha = 0.05$. Thus, it can be concluded that proleptic teaching can significantly improve the learners' writing achievement and reduce writing anxiety.

Key Words: proleptic teaching, writing skill, rhetorical feature, anxiety.

Introduction:

Writing is one of the indicators of academic success since it is an active and productive skill. As noted by Celce-Murcia (2012:32), writing in second or foreign language with good accuracy and coherence is a great achievement. Graham and Perin (2007:54) divide writing as two complementary roles. First, it is a skill that needs the use of strategies (such as planning, evaluating, and revising text) to accomplish a variety of goals, such as writing paragraph or expressing an opinion with the support of evidence. Second, writing is a means of extending and deepening learners' knowledge; it acts as a tool for learning a subject matter.

Writing skill should also be practiced and mastered by experiences starting from paragraph writing into essay writing such as an and argumentative writing. In other words, it takes some processes to make the learners' writing skill develops. The learners should bring their knowledge into practices. According to Hadley in Singh and Rajalingam (2012:32), writing is a continuum of activity starting from mechanical aspects to more complicated actions of composition writing as the final stage. Writing also has important role in evaluation of student performance at school, being particularly when they have to express the knowledge they have required as they do in tests or exams (Carvalho, 2002:271-282). In spite of the important roles of writing, many learners face many difficulties to correctly translate their ideas into a readable text. The difficulties lie on how the learners generate and construct the ideas using appropriate vocabulary, sentence and paragraph organization (Richard and Renandya, 2002:21). Dixon (1996:9) and Manphonsri, et. al (2013:78) say that the learners suffer difficulties due to lack of background knowledge for writing, especially rhetorical features. The learners experienced problems in getting ideas, organizing the ideas, developing the ideas into paragraphs, and maintaining paragraph unity. The challenges also arise when the learners do not have enough knowledge about mechanics, style, content, as well as writing strategy. Furthermore, the rhetorical convention of English texts differs from the conventions in the learners' first language. To put it another way, writing is a complex activity that should be mastered since learners need to express the ideas in written form.

As a consequence, the need to implement innovative teaching techniques which help the learners to improve their writing achievement is crucial. The researcher, hence, proposes to utilize proleptic teaching as the teaching technique to solve the problem. Proleptic teaching can also be implemented through the process writing approach. Vernon (2002:43) suggests that proleptic teaching should be given to the learners from prewriting until the final draft. It is

essential to implement proleptic teaching since proleptic teaching in teaching writing is one process that allows the teachers to organize writing activities systematically to meet the needs of the learners.

Proleptic teaching is the term originated from Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). Vygotsky defines ZPD as the distance between the actual development level of the learner, as determined by independent problem solving, and the level of potential development, as determined through problem solving under teacher guidance and/or interaction and collaboration with more capable peers (Vygotsky, in Walqui, 2002:54). According to Bodrova, & Leong, 1998:23), the proleptic teaching provided are activities and tasks that (1) motivate or enlist the student's interest related to the task, (2) simplify the task to make it more manageable and achievable for a student, (3) provide some direction in order to help the learners focus on achieving the goal, (4) reduce anxiety, (5) model and clearly define the expectations of the activity to be performed. Therefore, proleptic teaching can be assumed to decrease the writing anxiety level of student since learners engage in supportive environment, be motivated in learning, and experience less frustration situation in completing the writing task. Moreover, when learners learn through proleptic teaching they experience the process of writing, and get guidance when they need it. It is better to see writing as the process the learners go through. Dixon (1996:31), kara (2013:12) says that the process of writing drafts until publication that counts. She also reports that it increases the learners' confidence in writing and helps them to commit to their work. They are also not worried about the judgment of the work they do. They feel teacher's support and increase motivation. Consequently, proleptic teaching is believed to develop learners' writing skill. Based on the background of the study described above, the researcher is interested in conducting the study to investigate the effect of proleptic teaching on enhancing learners' writing rhetorical feature and reducing writing anxiety.

METHOD: This is experimental research designed to discover the effect of proleptic teaching to enhance rhetorical features on writing and reducing writing anxiety. Experimental research used in this study was Experimental Research; this design was also considered for its practicality. Moreover, the design chosen was a randomized control and experimental group Pretest-Posttest design and anxiety questioner. Therefore, the researcher selected two classes to be involved in this study. One class was assigned as an experimental group and the other one was assigned as a control group. Moreover, the pretest was used as a means to find out the homogeneity of the two groups before the treatment and posttest was used as a means to find out the effectiveness of the teaching technique. The design of the study can be illustrated in Table 1. The subjects of this study are the fifth-grade learners at al wafaa secondary school in academic year 2018/2019. There are 87 learners who were divided into two classes. There are 43 learners for the experimental one and 44 learners for the control group. The treatment was applied in the experimental group and traditional method was applied in the control group. At the beginning of the research, the subjects in the experimental group and the control group were administered the pretest. Next, the experimental group was exposed to proleptic teaching, while the control group was taught traditional teaching method. At the end of the experimentation, the experimental group and the control group were administered the posttest to decide the effectiveness of the treatment. The research was scheduled for ten lessons. The teaching schedules for the experimental group and the control group worked in the same weeks. The meetings took twice a week for both groups following the schedule from the school. The instruments utilized in this study were writing test (pretest and posttest) and the scoring rubric to assess the learners' works and English Writing Anxiety Scale (EWAS). Writing test was used to obtain the learners' essays and the scoring rubric was used as a guide to raters to grade the essays. table 2 shows the function for each instrument

Writing Test: There were two writing tests used in this research namely pretest and posttest. Pretest is used to check the similarity level of two group and posttest is used to test the learners' writing achievement after the treatment. In other words, it is to test the achievement of study objective within a certain period of time. The learners were requested to write an essay choosing one of the topics given before treatment (pretest) and after treatment (posttest). The time allotment for the test was 45 minutes. The learners needed to write an essay about 400- 450 words consisting of three parts namely introduction, supporting, and concluding paragraphs. The test was limited in terms of the number of words in order to made the learners' essay had the same length and easy in grading. In constructing the direction, the researcher also provides the information about how the essay was scored. In the pretest, the learners should choose one topic from two topics provided; two your favorite movies, and two your favorite singers or bands. The posttest also had two topics which were school and senior high school, and two cities which you have ever visited. Furthermore, the purpose of giving two topics for pretest and posttest was to give the learners opportunity to choose a topic that was more suitable with their own interest.

Scoring Rubric of Writing: In this study, the results of the writing were analyzed using an analytic scoring rubric. The scoring rubric was adapted from Jacobs (1981:21). The changes were made to make it suitable for grading an

essay. The rubric was divided under five aspects which are content, organization, language use, vocabulary and mechanics. Content refers to the development of the topic and the completeness of supporting information. Organization is developed based on the generic structure of an essay. Language use focuses on the correctness of grammar. Vocabulary refers to the effective choice of words and mechanics refers to the use of capitalization, punctuation, and spelling.

Rating Process: Since the test was a subjective test meaning that the rating process could only be done subjectively. The score was depended on the rater's impression towards the learners' essay which was various in terms of content, forms, coherence, cohesion, organization, language use, vocabulary, and mechanics. As a consequence, to avoid subjectivity, the rating process was done by two raters. The raters were the English lecturers who taught writing. Before the rating process, the raters were explained how to use the scoring rubric. Then the two raters rated the representative works of the learners in order to have the same perspective in scoring the learners' writing. Hopefully, it could minimize the different result of the students' scores between the first rater and the second raters in the rating process. Then the raters graded the students' works individually. The results of the raters then were divided by two in order to get final scores for each class.

Table 1

Research Instruments and Their Functions

No Instruments Functions

1. Pretest As a test of homogeneity

Posttest administered the pretest. Next, the experimental group was to decide the effectiveness of the study

2. Scoring rubric As a guide to rate the learners' essays

3. English Writing Anxiety Scale (EWAS). As a questioner to rate the learners' anxiety

Table 2

randomized Control Group, Pre and Posttest Design

Group	Pretest	Independent Variable	Dependent Variable	
E	y1	X		y2
C	y1			y2

E =the experimental group

C =the control group

Y1 = the pretest

Y2 =the posttest

X = Proleptic teaching

Test Validation: In this study, construct-related evidence and content-related evidence were used. In order to prove that the result of the test fulfilled construct validity evidence, the test was in the form of direct writing. It is obvious that the most direct way of measuring learners' ability in writing is to have them write. Moreover, the content validity evidence, tries to prove the appropriateness of the test content. In relation to this study, the test was used to measure the learners' writing ability in expressing ideas in the form of an essay. Therefore, the instruments were validated by

two English lecturers who have been teaching writing for years. The expert validation form was used to obtain feedback and comment. Moreover, the aspects covered in expert validation form were the appropriateness between the writing topics considering the learners' level and interest, time allotment needed to write an essay, and the clarity of the task.

Reliability: Reliability is apparently necessary for an essay writing test which tends to be very subjective. The subjectivity score causes the inconsistency of the scores resulted from a test. The test will be established as unreliable when the scores given by two raters are significantly different. The reliability of this study was shown by the same score awarded to the learners' essay when it was rated by two or more raters (inter rater reliability) or the same rater on different occasion (intra rater reliability). By using inter or intra rater reliability, we could know the consistency of the learners' scores. The researcher employed inters rater reliability. The researcher asked two English lecturers to grade the learners' works.

Data Collection: The data was collected by conducting pretest and posttest. The pretest was given to test the homogeneity of the two groups and it also used as the basis of choosing the statistical parameter for analyzing posttest. Meanwhile, the posttest was administered after the researcher implemented the proleptic teaching.

Data Analysis: After conducting the treatment to the experimental groups, the researcher gave posttest to the data were analyzed by using SPSS 16.0 software. The data of pretest and posttest were analyzed using Independent Sample T-Test. The writing test (pretest) from the experimental group and control group was analyzed by testing the fulfillment of the assumption to see the normal distribution and homogeneity of the data. Meanwhile, the writing test (posttest) was analyzed to find out the effectiveness of the treatments.

RESULTS: Prior to the treatments, both groups were given a writing test as a pretest to ensure the comparability of the two groups in performing their writing ability. The pretest was conducted to ensure that the two groups were homogenous; while the posttest was conducted the find out the effectiveness of the treatment by comparing the experimental group and the control group.

Pretest: The purpose of employing the pretest is to test the homogeneity of two groups. The statistical analysis of Independent Sample T-Test revealed that the significance values were t counted = -.140 < t table = -1.69092, p = .890, where $\alpha < 0.05$. It indicated that there was no significant difference between the experimental group and the control group since t counted was lower than t table. Besides, the F value = 1.809 and significance value p = .188 were higher than $\alpha = .05$. This indicated that the variances were homogenous. The complete results of testing the pretest using Independent Samples T-Test are summarized in Table 3. Based on the statistical analysis below, the researcher was able to decide the statistical parameter used to analyze the posttest data. Since it showed the two groups were homogenous, Independent Sample T-Test was employed to analyze the posttest data.

Posttest: After conducting the treatment to the experimental groups, the researcher gave posttest to the effect of Proleptic teaching experimental group and the control group. The test was given at the same day and date for both groups. Conducting the posttest was aimed to obtain the final data to find out the effectiveness proleptic teaching on enhancing rhetorical feature Based on the result of analysis, the implementation of writing achievement. Then the scores of posttest from both groups were analyzed using Independent Sample T-Test. The results of analysis indicated that the obtained significance value t counted = 2.318 was higher than t table = 1.69092 with df = 34, p = $.027 < \alpha = .05$. The complete analysis results are showed in Table 4. The statistical numbers above proved that there was enough evidence to reject null hypothesis. The results indicate that proleptic teaching significantly affects learners' writing achievement. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis stating the learners who are taught using proleptic teaching have better score in writing achievement than the learners who are taught without using proleptic teaching is accepted. The first anxiety survey was the Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale (FLCAS), and anxiety survey was an adaptation of Lee's (2005) English Writing Anxiety Scale (EWAS), which was designed for assessing English writing anxiety among students.

Table 3. Independent Sample t-test of Pretest (N=87)

Levene's	t-test for Equality of Means		
Test for			
Equality			

of Variance										
									95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig	T	DF	sig2taile d	Mean difference	Std error differences	Lower	upper
posttest	Equal variances assumed	1080 9	0.1 88	-0.140	34	890	-0.5000	3.58244	- 7.7804 0	607804 0
	Equal variances not assumed			-0.135	27.17	0.893	-0.5000	3.69945	- 8.0883 4	7.08834

Table 4. Independent Sample t-test of Posttest (N=87)

Levene's Test for Equality of Varianc e		t-test fo	or Equa	ality of Me	eans					
									95% C Interval	onfidence of the
									Difference	
		F	Sig	Т	DF	sig2taile d	Mean difference	Std error differences	Lower	upper
posttest	Equal variance s assumed	5.115	.13	2.318	34	.027	8.05625	.51938	.99165	15.1208 5
	Equal variance s not assumed			2.206	24.11	.037	8.05625	3.65269	.51938	15.5931 2

DISCUSSION:

Based on the result of analysis, the implementation of proleptic techniques in the experimental group could increase the students writing achievement compared to the control group. This finding is supported that

students do not show satisfactory result in terms of writing achievement because they have lack of experience, lack of knowledge, and lack of confidence (Richard and Renandya, 2002:11, Ismail, 2010:32, Manphonsri, et al., 2013:32). Therefore, a teacher should create environment where she or he can support and encourage the students during the process of teaching and learning. The teacher should also create the environment where the students can engage in the social interaction, build their confidence and necessary strategies or knowledge to construct their own writing. According to Hasan (2001:32), creating a classroom environment that nurtures students rather than merely correcting their mistakes has great potential to help the students. Proleptic techniques that break the writing process into smaller steps, feedback related to ideas and accomplishment, peer feedback leading to confidence building have offered valuable opportunities for learning writing. Consequently, this present research proves that the implementation of

proleptic techniques in writing instruction is able to create the nurture environment. Proleptic techniques enable the teacher or lecturer to create positive environment by giving continuous helps until these supports are gradually reduced. Dixon (1996:65) states that by providing the learners with scaffold, it means giving them supports which gradually decrease as they become stronger and independent. In addition, proleptic techniques help the students to engage in social interaction where the students are able to involve in the activities in which they share their knowledge and ideas to their peers. In this study, writing activities were divided into collaborative activities which provided many experiences and individual activities which were the shifting of helps and supports to become independent. Collaborative activities provide students with many experiences when the students work with their peers. Santoso (2010:20) views the opportunity to interact with other learners in sharing, constructing, and negotiating meaning leads to knowledge construction. In other words, through collaborative writing, the students are engaged in groups where the experience shared. Thus, the students are greatly influenced by the peers around them. In designing the collaborative writing, every pair consisted of one knowledgeable students and novice one. According to Schwieter (2010:54), proleptic writing technique makes up the process where expert help novice learners to develop a higher level of writing skill. To sum up, it can be inferred that proleptic techniques was more effective to increase students' writing ability. The implementation of proleptic techniques into writing instruction is success to decrease the students' writing apprehension level. Hence, the findings of this research filled in position in adding and supporting the existing theory about the effectiveness of implementing proleptic techniques to increase writing achievement as well as reducing writing apprehension level.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS:

Conclusions: Based on the research findings, it can be concluded that the implementation of proleptic teaching improve the learners' rhetorical feature writing and reducing writing anxiety. The learners who are taught using proleptic teaching produced higher score in writing achievement than the learners who were taught without using proleptic teaching technique. According to writing anxiety the study showed a statistically significant correlation between foreign language classroom anxiety and second language writing anxiety. However, there was no statistically significant correlation between second language writing anxiety as measured by the EWAS and actual writing performance as measured by the cumulative scores on the portfolio assignment. Students reported various sources of writing anxiety, which help expand the understanding of the affective domain of second language acquisition

Suggestions: Suggestion is directed for English writing teachers or lecturers to consider implementing proleptic teaching in the classroom. The teachers or lecturers can apply proleptic teaching in learning and teaching process to improve the writing achievement of the learners since it has already showed positive effect and tested in this study. The next suggestion is for the future researchers who are interested to investigate the similar topic. The future researchers can consider the different level of learners such as in high or junior high school and different learning

REFERENCES

Bodrova, E. & Leong, D. (1998). Scaffolding emergent writing in the zone of proximal development. Literacy Teaching and Learning, 3(2), 1-18.

Carvalho, J.B. 2002. Developing audience awareness in writing. journal of research in reading .23(3),271-282.

Celce_Murcia, M. 2012. Teaching English as a second or foreign language(4^{th edition}). London: Prentice Hall.

Dixon-Krauss, L. (1996). *Vygotsky in the classroom. Mediated literacy instruction and assessment.* White Plains, NY: Longman Publishers.

Graham, S & Perin, D. 2007. Writing Next: Effective Strategies to Improve Writing Adolescences in Middle and High Schools – A Report to Carnegie Corporation of New York. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.

Hasan, B. A. 2001. The Relationship of Writing Apprehension and Self-Esteem to the Writing Quality and Quantity of EFL School Learners. ERIC. (Accessed on April 19th, 2015, http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED459671)

Ismail, et al. 2010. Exploring ESL Learners' Apprehension Level and Attitude towards Academic Writing. The International Journal of Learning, 17(6). Illinois: Common Ground Publishing LLC.

Nabaa Fadhil

Jacobs, et al. 1981. Testing ESL Composition: A Practical Approach. Rowley, MA: Newburry House.

Kara.S. 2013. Writing Anxiety: A Case Study on Learners' Reasons for Anxiety in Writing Class. Anadolu Journal of Education Sciences International, January, 3(1).

Lee, S. Y. (2005). Facilitating and inhibiting factors on EFL writing: A model testing with SEM. Language Learning, 55, 335–374.

Monphonsari, et. Al. 2013. Reducing Thai High School Learners' Writing Apprehension by Exploiting an Instructional Model based on Vygotsky's technique

Richard, J. C. & Renandya, W. A. 2002. Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice. Cambridge: Cambridge School Press.

Singh, T.K.R & Rajalingam, S.K. 2012. The Relationship of Writing Apprehension Level and Self-Efficacy Beliefs on Writing Proficiency Level among Preuniversity Students. English Language Teaching, 5(7)

Santoso. A. 2010. Proleptic teaching an EFL (English as A Foreign Language) 'Effective Writing' Class in A Hybrid Learning. Unpublished Dissertation. Queensland: Queensland School of Technology.

Schwieter, J.W. 2010. Developing Second Language Writing through Proleptic teaching in the ZPD: A Magazine Project for an Authentic Audience. Languages and Literatures Faculty Publications. Paper 7. (Accessed on April 10, 2015. http://scholars.wlu.ca/lang_faculty/7.

Vernon, L. 2002. The Writing Process: A scaffolding teaching Approach. (Online), (http://www.Wm.edu/TTAC/packets/writing process.pdf, Accessed on April 9th, 2015).

Walqui, A. (2002) Scaffolding the teaching of the 14th Amendment. In N. Koelsch (ed.) Teaching Social Studies to Adolescent English Learners. San Francisco: WestEd..

Yangrifqi, N. 2012. The Effectiveness of Scaffolds and Conferencing on Senior High School Learners' Ability in Writing in Writing Narrative and Descriptive Texts. Unpublished Thesis. Malang: State School of Malang.