Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI) Volume 12, Issue 6, July, 2021: 8547 - 8557

**Research Article** 

#### **Investigating the Impact of IoT on Business Performance**

Mrs Thirupurasundari D R<sup>1</sup>, Dr.Malla Jogarao<sup>2</sup>, Dr.Om Prakash. C<sup>3</sup>, Dr. K.Bhavana Raj<sup>4</sup>

#### Abstract

The objective of the study was to determine the opinion of the employees and employers in the manufacturing sector who were using IoT for business operations. Further to identify the impact of IoT on business performance. Herein for the descriptive research design was adopted. The data was collected from 67 respondents from 38 different business units who were using IoT. The data were collected using a structured questionnaire. From the analysis carried out t was understood that the respondents agree that IoT is required for the current business environment. Further, it was found that IoT significantly contributes to decision making, easy data storage and retrieval, helps in identifying and rectifying the mistakes quickly, Improves operational efficiency and better system monitoring easily. Also, it can be interpreted that IoT significantly impacts the Decision Making, System monitoring, Competitiveness of the business unit and operational efficiency. Thus it can be overall concluded that in the Current era IoT is essential for better business performance.

Keywords: IoT, Business Performance, Manufacturing Sector

#### 1. Introduction

In the last generation, the number of rivals in every market has increased dramatically. Firms are facing fierce competition these days, owing to growing demands from the technology sector and global concerns. These upcoming pressures result in globalisation of manufacturing, which is characterised by faster transfer of goods, multiple payment systems, and product compression, among other factors, driving the need for the most integration of successful companies that do not only respond to the needs of their customers or firms. Rather, they focus on future trends by creating new ideas, products, and services that can quickly and efficiently satisfy future needs [1]. Among all the technological stressors, the internet's advent has had a significant impact on companies' production techniques and altered organisational and operational structures. However, the function of the internet in production is still understudied, as is the case with the "internet of things" (IoT) phenomena, which refers to the emergence of complex networks of products and devices connected through the internet, sometimes with omnipresent intelligence. The relevant literature on the subject is scattered and usually focuses on in-depth studies of specific cases, primarily with an emphasis on engineering elements while recognising the quality-related

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Computer Science and Engineering, Meenakshi College of Engineering, Chennai, Tamilnadu. E-mail: tpsdrlagok@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Asst professor, School of Maritime Management, Indian Maritime university, Visakhapatnam

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Professor, School of Management, CMR UNIVERSITY, Bangalore.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, Institute of Public Enterprise, Hyderabad

issues [2]. The data was gathered from 67 respondents who used IoT in 38 different business divisions. A systematic questionnaire was used to gather the information. According to the results of the study, the respondents believe that IoT is necessary in today's corporate climate. Such "disconnected" activities do not allow for clear feasible categorizations and evolutionary roadmaps of the IoT phenomena, especially in terms of managerial consequences. As a result, the purpose of this paper is to investigate the key data points that represent the IoT and, as a result, theorise a conceptual framework based on the innovation literature in order to investigate and interpret the past, present, and future dimensions of the IoT's impact on manufacturing. Because the Internet of Things is still a developing concept, our approach helps by simply placing and structuring the phenomena inside conventional management paradigms [3].

Many writers have attempted to describe the Internet of Things phenomena throughout the years by extending the notions of "Internet" and "things," defining their characteristics, connections, and interactions. Because of the involvement of the human element, there are discussions and even debates against the usage of the terms "Internet" and "things," thus the name "Internet of people" is proposed. Many of these criteria indicate that Internet accessibility, which includes creating a strong Internet infrastructure, obtaining a low-cost Internet connection, and expanding IP address space, is a requirement for the Internet of Things to become a reality in all aspects of our lives. This allows all "things" on the earth to be connected and addressed. Internet of Things, like any other pervasive technology, offers a slew of benefits for companies and consumers, including new possibilities and a diverse range of applications. Along with this, there are certain threads and issues that need to be resolved in order for all participants in the IoT ecosystem to feel safe and secure. We examine the key features that characterise the Internet of Things and create a framework to understand its development based on management and innovation literature. An study of the Internet of Things phenomena and its key keywords was utilised to develop a conceptual framework in a management design to explain the phenomenon's evolutionary impacts on the innovative sector. This paradigm was then applied to the instance of additive manufacturing's three-dimensional (3D) printing technology [4].

The impacts of IoT on the innovative industry are achieved via a series of stages, including radical, modular, architectural, and incremental innovation. This research presents a basic model for interpreting the effects of the Internet of Things. This was accomplished by systematising the topic's study and organising the contributions into established paradigms in the management literature. An organization's Business Performance System is critical. It helps a company operate as a single unit and provides all employees with access to a single source of data, making it more productive and efficient. IoT improves the amount of data accessible to a Business Performance system, allowing a company to efficiently use and analyse the data. It also aids in improved product and service management. This also contributes to an organization's profitability. The effect of IoT in a business is becoming increasingly apparent at the moment. Organizations may improve customer happiness by offering new services that they previously didn't have the capacity or concept to provide. The Internet of Things has a variety of effects on the Business Performance System. The Internet of Objects (IoT) helps a business performance system link people, processes, data, and things in a way that allows for improved decision-making. This may help to enhance data processing even further [5].

### 2. Literature Review

Manufacturing innovation has a long history of research. Many empirical studies have linked a company's ability to maintain a nonstop innovation strategy to its longevity [6]. A recent comprehensive literature analysis on manufacturing, which collected contributions from 1993 to 2003, shows how innovation is seen as one of the most important factors influencing company survival. Production and distribution methods, as previously said, should operate in a completely different environment than in the past. Gadgets or "things" are embedded in their environments, which means they may be constantly connected and interacting with one another, altering data flows. Although certain pioneer studies on comparable topics may be dated back to the beginning of the new century, this phenomena has just recently appeared in academic and practitioner literature and been given the term net of things (IoT). Because the concept is still in its infancy, it lacks a common and unambiguous definition. As a result, a systematisation of the whole range of implications and knowledge throughout the idea is critical, and this book aims to do that [6].

The next parts will do just that, expanding on the current literature's fragmented and unconnected pieces to create a more comprehensive and systematic version. We'll begin by referring to one of the most comprehensive definitions of the Internet of Things, which refers to a networked interconnection of everyday things and products that can be routinely geared up with omnipresent intelligence. However, it is also true that the current competitive environment has increased the pace of innovation in terms of discovery, implementation, creation, and market dissemination. This has resulted in a self-reinforcing cycle that has compelled companies to continuously develop services and goods in order to maintain better overall performance [7]. With the advent of the internet and the 1/3 business revolution called as the digital revolution, this fact has become much more true. Superior manufacturing technology is heavily reliant on a variety of ICT technologies in order to achieve greater productivity, higher quality, and reduced production costs. This has a specific effect on methods for automating production and on information structures. Indeed, the introduction of internet-based technology has resulted in the emergence of new production philosophies and business models, such as digital businesses, remote manufacturing, computer-assisted manufacturing, and internet-based manufacturing, such as Wi-Fi milling machines, coordinated measuring machines, networked sensor arrays, and surveillance systems. For example, "create anywhere, produce anywhere" is a novel approach to manufacturing that allows designers and manufacturers to exchange design and manufacturing data across various systems and infrastructures [8].

Recent research have verified these characteristics, implying that the future of manufacturing companies would be mostly records-oriented and knowledge-driven, resulting in much more flexibility and an abundance of automated operations systems. As a result, any production generation will need to be integrated into a network machine and work in "dispensed environments," which are environments populated by interconnected bodily objects and digital systems capable of performing included tasks regardless of the physical location of precise machineries, gadgets, or methods, and dealing with unique databases or statistics acquired externally. The advantages of net-based solutions in production settings are highlighted, particularly in terms of scalability with demand and flexibility in installing and modifying solutions. Cloud-based design and production is a great illustration of these advantages. It refers to a networked, carrier-oriented product development paradigm in which service customers may customise services or goods and reconfigure production structures. "Cloud-based production (CBM) refers to a networked manufacturing model that uses on-demand access to a shared series of various and distributed manufacturing sources to form temporary, reconfigurable production strains that improve performance, lower product lifecycle fees, and allow for optimal resource allocation in response to variable-demand customers," according to the definition. As a result, this technology may be able to enhance operational efficiency by enhancing engagement in businessto-business (B2B) interactions, such as manufacturer-to-wholesaler or wholesaler-to-store. Such

responses may also detect a lack of inventory for a particular item straight away, speeding up the reordering process [9]. As a result, this environment offers a dependable method to store, integrate, manage, and control each data and process from production to distribution in an organised and efficient manner. As a result of aiding B2B integration, internet-based technology has an effect on working overall performance in terms of value-slicing, satisfaction, flexibility, and transportation overall performance. By combining IoT with ERP, ERP systems will become more intelligent, real-time, and adaptable, increasing their efficiency [10]. Equipment maintenance, energy conservation, inventory optimization, and labour efficiency will all benefit from the Internet of Things [11]. IoT connects the actual and virtual worlds of production with the internet, allowing all elements of the manufacturing process to be connected, including equipment, materials, people, and methods. This allows machines and materials to interact with one another as well as control business operations. Businesses must deploy sensors, connect with numerous devices, and install sophisticated analytics for actionable knowledge in order to automate transactions using IoT [12]. Manufacturing firms will benefit from IoT since it collects valuable data from these sensors and transmits it to factory employees, plant managers, software systems, and many other value chain components [13].

Using paper-based, excel-based, and conventional enterprise software to evaluate inventory is more expensive and may not address all of the company's issues. So, in order to address this issue, inventory management is carried out utilising RFID and 2.0 technologies, which are linked through IoT [14]. Sensors are used to carry out the whole communication process. Web 2.0 technologies, on the other hand, may be used to interact through PCs or mobile phones. There are two kinds of RFID tags, depending on how they are powered. There are two types of them: active and passive. Online 2.0 enables the creation of more operationally rich and responsive web applications. Electronic Product Code (EPC) is a globally unique identification for each product that is often used for product tracking. The most significant disadvantage of adopting IoT in nations like South Africa is the cost, which may be mitigated by partnering with poorer countries. IoT sensors will exchange and analyse data about the product, its use patterns, and how it is handled. When combined with an existing ERP system, the solution can efficiently monitor working conditions. Sub processes are scheduled using a Genetic Algorithm approach. This technique optimises the process by reducing expenses and manufacturing delays. The process has been studied via simulations. The shortcoming is that it does not address the use of data collected from IoT sensors for efficient raw material and finished products transportation [15].

## 3. Objective

The objective of the study was to determine the opinion of the employees and employers in the manufacturing sector who were using IoT for business operations. Further to identify the impact of IoT on business performance.

#### 4. Methodology

Herein for the descriptive research design was adopted. The data was collected from 67 respondents from 38 different business units who were using IoT. The data were collected using a structured questionnaire.

## 5. Analysis and Interpretation

Herein for research, a pilot study was conducted with 35 samples initially and the reliability was estimated using the Cronbach Alpha test Table 1.

| <b>Reliability Statistics</b>                                  |                               |                                      |                                      |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cronbach's Alpha   | N of Items                    |                                      |                                      |                                     |
| .768   | 15                            |                                      |                                      |                                     |
| <b>Item-Total Statistics</b>                                   |                               |                                      |                                      |                                     |
|  | Scale Mean if<br>Item Deleted | Scale<br>Variance if<br>Item Deleted | Corrected Item-<br>Total Correlation | Cronbach's Alpha<br>if Item Deleted |
| Improved<br>Operational<br>Efficiency                          | 51.3134                       | 40.431                               | .418                                 | .752                                |
| Creating<br>Competitive Pricing<br>of Products                 | 52.1940                       | 44.886                               | .056                                 | .779                                |
| Increment in Sales   | 52.7910                       | 41.471                               | .321                                 | .760                                |
| Better Customers<br>Retention                                  | 51.3582                       | 37.536                               | .621                                 | .731                                |
| Innovation product & Services                                  | 51.5970                       | 40.608                               | .262                                 | .770                                |
| Branding   | 52.8657                       | 41.482                               | .261                                 | .767                                |
| Reduced Workload   | 51.8060                       | 39.462                               | .422                                 | .751                                |
| Easy data storage and retrieval                                | 51.2388                       | 40.821                               | .519                                 | .747                                |
| Helps in identifying<br>and rectifying the<br>mistakes quickly | 51.2537                       | 41.223                               | .402                                 | .754                                |
| Reduced<br>Complexity in<br>business operations                | 51.5373                       | 38.707                               | .548                                 | .739                                |
| Supports decision<br>Making                                    | 51.1791                       | 41.634                               | .367                                 | .756                                |
| System monitoring was made easy                                | 51.3433                       | 36.986                               | .644                                 | .728                                |
| Decreasing Business<br>Expenditure                             | 53.6418                       | 43.688                               | .157                                 | .772                                |
| Scope for business expansion                                   | 53.2388                       | 45.094                               | .045                                 | .779                                |
| Helps in Competing<br>in business<br>competitions              | 51.4179                       | 38.126                               | .518                                 | .741                                |

Source: (Primary data)

The estimated Cronbach Alpha value for the factor Business Performance is estimated to be 0.768 which is greater than 0.7 (Std. Value). Thereby the factor and its items are reliable for further study.

Herein analysis was carried to identify whether there is a significant difference opinion among the respondents for IOT requirement to the business Table 2.

| Tests of Between-Subjects Effects                                      |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Dependent Variable: Requirement of IoT in current Business Environment |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Source Type III Sum of Squares df Mean Square F Sig.                   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |
| 4.480  | 1   | 4.48   | 0  | 0.325  | .162  |  |  |
| 1.965  | 3   | .655   |  | .895   | .449  |  |  |
| Descriptive Statistics   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |
| N Mean Std. Deviatio   |   |  |  |  | iation  |  |  |
| Requirement of IoT in current Business Environment                     |   |  |  | .90153   |   |  |  |
|  | Requirement of IoT in curren<br>Type III Sum of Squares<br>4.480<br>1.965 | Requirement of IoT in current BusType III Sum of Squaresdf4.48011.9653 | Requirement of IoT in current BusinessType III Sum of SquaresdfMea4.48014.4801.9653.655N | Requirement of IoT in current Business EnvironmeType III Sum of SquaresdfMean Square4.48014.4801.9653.655NMean | Requirement of IoT in current Business EnvironmentType III Sum of SquaresdfMean SquareF4.48014.4800.3251.9653.655.895 |  |  |

Table No. 2: Univariate Test – Requirement of IoT in current Business Environment

Source: (Primary data)

The estimated significance value is greater than 0.05 for all the items, meaning the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, there is no significant difference in opinion among the respondents for the IoT requirement to the business among the respondents. From the mean score, it was understood that the respondents agree that IoT is required for the current business environment.

Herein analysis was carried to identify whether there is a significant difference in business performance due to IoT as per the respondents' opinion Table 3.

| Multivariat  | e Tests               |              |                   |            |          |      |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|----------|------|
| Effect       |                       | Value        | F                 | Hypothesis | Error df | Sig. |
|              |                       |              |                   | df         |          |      |
| Age          | Pillai's Trace        | .230         | .894 <sup>b</sup> | 15.000     | 45.000   | .576 |
| Experience   | Pillai's Trace        | .733         | 1.013             | 45.000     | 141.000  | .462 |
| Tests of Bet | ween-Subjects Effects |              |                   |            |          |      |
| Source       |                       | Type III Sum | df                | Mean       | F        | Sig. |
|              |                       | of Squares   |                   | Square     |          |      |
| Gender       | Improved Operational  | .995         | 1                 | .995       | 1.148    | .288 |
|              | Efficiency            |              |                   |            |          |      |
|              | Creating Competitive  | .318         | 1                 | .318       | .488     | .488 |
|              | Pricing of Products   |              |                   |            |          |      |
|              | Increment in Sales    | .000         | 1                 | .000       | .000     | .989 |
|              | Better Customers      | 1.374        | 1                 | 1.374      | 1.296    | .260 |
|              | Retention             |              |                   |            |          |      |
|              | Innovation product &  | .362         | 1                 | .362       | .237     | .628 |
|              | Services              |              |                   |            |          |      |
|              | Branding              | .014         | 1                 | .014       | .013     | .911 |
|              | Reduced Workload      | 7.192        | 1                 | 7.192      | 7.557    | .008 |
|              | Easy data storage and | .786         | 1                 | .786       | 1.457    | .232 |
|              | retrieval             |              |                   |            |          |      |

## Table No. 3: Multivariate Test – Business Performance

|            | Helps in identifying and                  | .229  | 1 | .229  | .334  | .566 |
|------------|---|-------|---|-------|-------|------|
|            | rectifying the mistakes                   | .22)  | 1 | .22)  | .554  | .500 |
|            | quickly                                   |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Reduced Complexity in                     | .060  | 1 | .060  | .072  | .789 |
|            | business operations                       |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Supports decision Making                  | 2.615 | 1 | 2.615 | 4.670 | .035 |
|            | System monitoring was                     | 3.318 | 1 | 3.318 | 3.072 | .085 |
|            | made easy                                 |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Decreasing Business                       | .163  | 1 | .163  | .231  | .633 |
|            | Expenditure                               | 245   | 1 | 245   | 664   | 410  |
|            | Scope for business                        | .345  | 1 | .345  | .664  | .418 |
|            | expansion<br>Helps in Competing in        | .117  | 1 | .117  | .105  | .747 |
|            | business competitions                     | .11/  | 1 | .11/  | .105  | ./4/ |
| Experience | Improved Operational                      | 1.772 | 3 | .591  | .682  | .567 |
| -          | Efficiency                                |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Creating Competitive                      | .691  | 3 | .230  | .354  | .787 |
|            | Pricing of Products                       |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Increment in Sales                        | 5.035 | 3 | 1.678 | 2.143 | .104 |
|            | Better Customers<br>Retention             | 1.010 | 3 | .337  | .317  | .813 |
|            | Innovation product &                      | 2.344 | 3 | .781  | .511  | .676 |
|            | Services                                  |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Branding                                  | 4.032 | 3 | 1.344 | 1.187 | .323 |
|            | Reduced Workload                          | 6.188 | 3 | 2.063 | 2.167 | .101 |
|            | Easy data storage and                     | .644  | 3 | .215  | .398  | .755 |
|            | retrieval                                 |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Helps in identifying and                  | .472  | 3 | .157  | .230  | .875 |
|            | rectifying the mistakes                   |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | quickly<br>Reduced Complexity in          | 5.392 | 3 | 1.797 | 2.171 | .101 |
|            | Reduced Complexity in business operations | 5.392 | 3 | 1.797 | 2.1/1 | .101 |
|            | Supports decision Making                  | 3.532 | 3 | 1.177 | 2.103 | .110 |
|            | System monitoring was                     | .305  | 3 | .102  | .094  | .963 |
|            | made easy                                 | .505  |   | .102  | .074  | .705 |
|            | Decreasing Business                       | 1.663 | 3 | .554  | .785  | .507 |
|            | Expenditure                               |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Scope for business                        | .800  | 3 | .267  | .513  | .675 |
|            | expansion                                 |       |   |       |       |      |
|            | Helps in Competing in                     | 6.754 | 3 | 2.251 | 2.027 | .120 |
|            | business competitions                     |       |   |       |       |      |

Source: (Primary data)

The estimated significance value is greater than 0.05 for all the items, meaning the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, there is no significant difference in business performance due to IoT as per the respondent's opinion.

Herein in the rank analysis was carried to important business performance increased due to induction of the IoT Table 4.

| Rank Analysis  |    |        |      |  |  |  |
|--|----|--------|------|--|--|--|
|  | N  | Mean   | Rank |  |  |  |
| Improved Operational Efficiency                          | 67 | 4.3134 | 4    |  |  |  |
| Creating Competitive Pricing of Products                 | 67 | 3.4328 | 11   |  |  |  |
| Increment in Sales                                       | 67 | 2.8358 | 12   |  |  |  |
| Better Customers Retention                               | 67 | 4.2687 | 6    |  |  |  |
| Innovation product & Services                            | 67 | 4.0299 | 9    |  |  |  |
| Branding   | 67 | 2.7612 | 13   |  |  |  |
| Reduced Workload   | 67 | 3.8209 | 10   |  |  |  |
| Easy data storage and retrieval                          | 67 | 4.3881 | 2    |  |  |  |
| Helps in identifying and rectifying the mistakes quickly | 67 | 4.3731 | 3    |  |  |  |
| Reduced Complexity in business operations                | 67 | 4.0896 | 8    |  |  |  |
| Supports decision Making                                 | 67 | 4.4478 | 1    |  |  |  |
| System monitoring was made easy                          | 67 | 4.2836 | 5    |  |  |  |
| Decreasing Business Expenditure                          | 67 | 1.9851 | 15   |  |  |  |
| Scope for business expansion                             | 67 | 2.3881 | 14   |  |  |  |
| Helps in Competing in business competitions              | 67 | 4.2090 | 7    |  |  |  |

Table No. 4: Rank Analysis – Business Performance

Source: (Primary data)

From the rank analysis carried using the mean score it was found that IoT significantly contributes to decision making, easy data storage and retrieval, helps in identifying and rectifying the mistakes quickly, Improves operational efficiency and better system monitoring easily.

Herein analysis was carried using the linear regression method to identify the Impact of IoT on Business performance Table 5.

| Model   | Summary             |             |            |                            |       |                   |
|---------|---------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------|
|         |                     |             | Adjusted R |                            |       |                   |
| Model   | R                   | R Square    | Square     | Std. Error of the Estimate |       | 2                 |
| 1       | .762 <sup>a</sup>   | .580        | .456       | .66465                     |       |                   |
| ANOV    | A                   |             | •          |                            |       |                   |
|         |                     | Sum of      |            |                            |       |                   |
| Model   |                     | Squares     | df         | Mean Square                | F     | Sig.              |
| 1       | Regression          | 31.112      | 15         | 2.074                      | 4.695 | .000 <sup>b</sup> |
|         | Residual            | 22.530      | 51         | .442                       |       |                   |
|         | Total               | 53.642      | 66         |                            |       |                   |
| Coeffic | cients <sup>a</sup> | ·           | •          | ·                          | •     | •                 |
|         |                     | Unstandard  | dized      | Standardized               |       |                   |
|         |                     | Coefficient | ts         | Coefficients               |       |                   |
| Model   |                     | В           | Std. Error | Beta                       | t     | Sig.              |
| 1       | (Constant)          | .987        | 1.006      |                            | .981  | .331              |

Table No. 5: Regression - Impact of IoT on Business performance.

| Improved Operational<br>Efficiency                             | 249           | .126          | 251            | -1.983 | .053 |
|--|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------|------|
| Creating Competitive<br>Pricing of Products                    | .165          | .123          | .144           | 1.345  | .184 |
| Increment in Sales   | 045           | .109          | 046            | 416    | .679 |
| Better Customers<br>Retention                                  | 018           | .129          | 020            | 139    | .890 |
| Innovation product &<br>Services                               | 091           | .087          | 121            | -1.045 | .301 |
| Branding   | .078          | .091          | .091           | .855   | .397 |
| Reduced Workload   | .126          | .106          | .146           | 1.196  | .237 |
| Easy data storage and retrieval                                | .097          | .167          | .078           | .584   | .562 |
| Helps in identifying and<br>rectifying the mistakes<br>quickly | 173           | .138          | 156            | -1.247 | .218 |
| Reduced Complexity in business operations                      | .025          | .126          | .026           | .197   | .845 |
| Supports decision<br>Making                                    | .250          | .139          | .222           | 1.799  | .078 |
| System monitoring was made easy                                | .401          | .139          | .456           | 2.873  | .006 |
| Decreasing Business<br>Expenditure                             | .023          | .117          | .021           | .193   | .848 |
| Scope for business expansion                                   | .016          | .139          | .013           | .117   | .908 |
| Helps in Competing in business competitions                    | .221          | .114          | .262           | 1.941  | .058 |
| a. Dependent Variable: Requirement                             | t of IoT in c | urrent Busine | ss Environment |        |      |

Source: (Primary data)

The estimated R-value is 0.762, meaning the factor Emotional Intelligence and Employee Performance have a 76.2% relationship. Further, the calculated R-Square value is 0.580 which is greater than 0.5 (Std. Value), therefore the regression equation will have 58% forecasting accuracy.

Also, the estimated ANOVA Significance value is less than 0.05, this indicates the model is fit. Further, the coefficient significance value is less than 0.05 from which it can be interpreted that IoT significantly impact the Decision Making, System monitoring, Competitiveness of the business unit and operational efficiency

# 6. Findings and Conclusion

From the analysis carried out t was understood that the respondents agree that IoT is required for the current business environment. Further, it was found that IoT significantly contributes to decision making, easy data storage and retrieval, helps in identifying and rectifying the mistakes quickly, Improves operational efficiency and better system monitoring easily. Also, it can be interpreted that IoT significantly impacts the Decision Making, System monitoring, Competitiveness of the business unit and operational efficiency. Thus it can be overall concluded that in the Current era IoT is essential for better business performance.

## References

- 1. Alzoubi, H. & Khafajy, N. (2011). Analyze the Impact of Managers Awareness of Environmental Uncertainty on Exploiting Strategic Competencies. *Egyptian Journal for Commercial Studies*, 34(2), 611-625.
- 2. Roman, R., Najera, P., Lopez, J., Securing the internet of things, Computer, vol. 44, pp. 51-58, 2011.
- Alzoubi, H. (2010). Applying Electronic Supply Chain Management Using Multi-Agent System: A Managerial Perspective. *International Arab Journal of e-Technology*, 1(3), 106-113.
- Sicari, S., Rizzardi, A., Grieco, L.A., Coen-Porisini, A., Security, privacy and trust in Internet of Things: The road ahead, Computer Networks, Elsevier, Volume 76, pp 146-164, 2015
- 5. Alnuaimi, M., Alzoubi, A. & Alzoubi, H. (2010). Propose a model for Performance Criteria and measuring its impact for Achieving Excellence. *Association of Arab Universities Journal*, 56(4), 920-941.
- 6. Alrubaiee, L., Alzoubi, H., Hanandeh, R. & Ali, R. (2015). Investigating the Relationship between Knowledge Management Processes and Organizational Performance: The Mediating Effect of Organizational Innovation. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 4(4), 977-997
- Alnazer, N., Alnuaimi, M. & Alzoubi, H. (2017). Analyzing the Appropriate Cognitive Styles and its effect on Strategic Innovation in Jordanian Universities. *International journal of business excellence*, 13(1), 127-140.
- 8. Kranenburg, R., The Internet of Things, draft paper prepared for the1st Berlin Symposium on Internet and Society, 2011
- 9. Khafajy, N., Alzoubi, H. & Aljanabee, A. (2016). Analyzing the effect of knowledge management processes in the services' quality in Iraqi commercial banks. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 5(1), 302-314.
- 10. Androcec , Darko., Picek, Ruben., Mijac Marko. (2018). The Ontologically based Model for the Integration of the IoT and Cloud ERP Services. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Cloud Computing and Service Science, 481-488.
- 11. Al-Gasaymeh, A., Ahmed, G., Mehmood, T. & Alzoubi, H. (2019). Co-Integration Tests and the Long-Run Purchasing Power Parity: A Case Study of India and Pakistan Currencies. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, 9(4): 570-583.

- 12. Alzoubi, H., Abdo M., Al-Gasaymeh, A. & Alzoubi, A. (2019). An empirical study of e-Service quality and its impact on achieving a value added. *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research (JBRMR)*, 13(4):138-145.
- 13. D. Mourtzis, E. Vlachou, N. Milas. (2016)Industrial Big Data as a result of IoT adoption in Manufacturing 5th CIRP Global Web Conference Research and Innovation for Future Production, Procedia CIRP 55, 290 295.
- 14. M. Aabid A Majeed.(2017). Thashika D Rupasinghe, Internet of Things (IoT) Embedded Future Supply Chains for Industry 4.0:An Assessment from an ERP-based Fashion Apparel and Footwear Industry, International Journal of Supply Chain Management.
- 15. Moutaz Haddaraab.(2015). Ahmed Elragal, the Readiness of ERP Systems for the Factory of the Future, Procedia Computer Science.