Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI)
Volume 12, Issue 7, July 2021: 11554 -11560

Research Article

# A Literature Review on the use of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag in Polymer Impregnated Concrete.

K. Mukesh[1], Kalpana Mohan[2]

## ABSTRACT

Concrete is a mixture of concrete, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, and water. Solid plays an important role in the development of foundations, for example, structures, contemporary structures, expansions, and throughways, among other things, necessitating the use of a large quantity of cement. On the other hand, the cost of cement is attributed to the expense of its fixings, which are scarce and costly, prompting the exploitation of less expensive components in its production. This prerequisite is taken from the consideration of agents to research novel cement replacements. The current specialised paper is concerned with investigating the properties of cement with partial replacement of concrete with Ground Granulated Blast Heater Slag (GGBHS). The issue deals with the usage of GGBS and the benefits as well as drawbacks of using it in concrete. This exploitation of GGBS fills in as a replacement for previously exhausted normal structure materials in the ongoing years, and as a by-product, it fills in as an Eco-Friendly technique of using the item without dumping it on the ground.

## INTRODUCTION

Concrete impregnated with polymers Polymer concrete was introduced in the 1970s, and during the last 35 years, many types of research have been conducted on polymer, such as replacing the concrete, using it as an additive, and primarily replacing or applying it on the exterior of the solid. Polymer in solid form enhances compressive quality, flexural quality, split ductile quality, sturdiness, fire resistance, and effect obstruction. When compared to regular PCC modules, versatile modules have a 50% to 100% rate (plain concrete cement). Polymer modules, as we know, are 10% more notable than conventional concrete. Polymer impregnated solid (PIC), polymer solid (PC), and polymer altered cement are the three types of polymer in concrete (PMC). Its article is mostly focused on polymer impregnated concrete. Keeping as a high priority that the quality of the solid is not harmed by the use of GGBS. GGBS is used to build strong structures in conjunction with regular Portland concrete or maybe other pozzolanic materials. For its predominance in solid toughness, GGBS has been widely used in Europe, and increasingly in

[1]Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institue of Medical and Technical Sciences,Chennai, India. Email: rahul.mukesh7@gmail.com
[2]Associate professor**,** Department of Civil Engineering, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institue of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai,India. Email: kalpanamohancivil@gmail.com

the United States and Asia (particularly in Japan and Singapore), increasing the life expectancy of structures from fifty years to a hundred years. Two important applications of GGBS are the production of value-added slag concrete, namely Portland Blast heater concrete (PBFC) and high-slag impact heater concrete (HSBFC), with GGBS content ranging from 30 to 70%, and the production of prepared blended or site-clustered solid cement. Concrete created with GGBS concrete sets more slowly than ordinary Portland concrete, depending on the amount of GGBS in the cementitious ingredient, but it also maintains quality for a longer length of time under normal conditions. This results in less heat of hydration and smaller temperature increases, making it easier to avoid cold joints, but it may also have an impact on development plans when quick setup is necessary.

## LITERATURE SURVEY

**Cheng-Hsin Chen, Ran Huang &Jiann-Kuo Wu (2011):**Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN), benzoyl peroxide (BPO), and lauroyl peroxide (LPO) were chosen individually to combine with methyl methacrylate, ethylene glycoldimethacrylate, and trimethylolpropane trimethyacrylate. 300 fifty-barrel shaped solid samples were thrown and relieved with a constant water/concrete ration of 0.65. The polymer loadings of impregnated samples using BPO or LPO as initiator varied between 5.2 and 6.5 percent for a particular impregnation procedure, and the impregnation profundities ranged from 15 to 19 mm, depending on the consistency of the monomer mix.However, in AIBN instances, complete impregnation profundity (50 mm) was seen, owing to greater started liveliness in the monomer blends. Impregnation enhanced the mechanical characteristics of the sample. Following a 600-hour presentation, no chloride particles or carbon dioxide were found in the majority of the impregnated samples.

**Ali Sadr Momtazi1, Reza KohaniKhoshkbijari, Sadaf SabaghMogharab (2015**): Polymers have been used in concrete for an extremely long time. Several studies on polymer have been conducted in recent years. This research is ongoing due to the significant impact of polymers on structures and solid techniques. Polymers improve the mechanical and synthetic characteristics of solids, some of which include increased compressive quality, flexural and malleable quality, as well as excellent performance in increasing toughness and decreasing erosion and porousness of cement. Polymer impregnated solid (PIC), polymer solid (PC), and polymer adjusted concrete are the three main types of polymer-made solids (PMC).Currently, we have attempted to audit the historical background of using polymers in concrete, as well as checking on processes and contemporary queries regarding the growth and advancement of this type of cement.

**R.Preetha, P.SivaNandhini*, K.Sreenaath, S.Tharun, S.Vignesh Raja (2017):** Geo polymer concrete uses a substitute material including GGBS as authoritative material instead of cement6. This GGBS responds with Sodium silicate (SiO2) and Sodium Hydroxide (Na2O) to shape a gel which ties the fine and coarse totals. Since Geo polymer concrete is the rising field, the rules from the Bureau of Indian Standards are however to be planned. An endeavour has been made to discover an ideal blend for the Geo polymer concrete. Solid blocks of size 150 X 150 X 150mm were arranged and restored under encompassing relieving for 28 days. The compressive quality was discovered at 7days, 14 days and 28 days. The outcomes are thought about. The ideal blend is GGBS: Fine total: Coarse total (1:1.5:3) with the arrangement (Na2O and SiO2 consolidated together). High early quality was gotten in the Geo polymer solid blend.

**R.Preetha, P.SivaNandhini*, K.Sreenaath, S.Tharun, S.Vignesh Raja (2017):** Currently, we have attempted to audit the historical background of using polymers in concrete, as well as checking on procedures and current inquiries about in the development and advancement of this type of Geo polymer concrete uses a substitute material such as GGBS as authoritative material rather than cement6. This GGBS reacts by forming a gel containing sodium silicate (SiO2) and sodium hydroxide (Na2O) that connects the fine and coarse totals. However, because geopolymer concrete is a growing field, the Bureau of Indian Standards standards must be prepared. An effort has been made to find the optimal combination for the Geo polymer concrete.Solid blocks of 150 X 150 X 150mm were assembled and repaired for 28 days under comprehensive relaxing. At 7 days, 14 days, and 28 days, the compressive quality was discovered. The outcomes are being considered. GGBS is the optimum blend: With the layout, fine total: coarse total (1:1.5:3) (Na2O and SiO2 consolidated together). The Geo polymer solid mix achieved high early quality. cement.

**Eskinder Desta Shumuye and Zhao Jun (2018):** The construction sector consumes a large amount of cement each year, and it is expected that demand will increase in the near future. Concrete is one of the most often used building materials; the major component of cement will be concrete. The popularity of concrete as a construction material is growing. Nonetheless, the production and use of concrete pollutes the environment and reduces the availability of raw materials (limestone). The production of Portland concrete in general is increasing on a regular basis. The current commitment of ozone depleting chemical discharge from Portland concrete generation necessitates the need for cementitious material to be strengthened as a desirable pozzolanic material for concrete.This increases excitement for the use of squanders as well as modern side effects in order to restrict the use of Portland concrete. This article examines the use of GGBS as a fractional pozzolanic replacement of concrete in concrete. According to the text, GGBS was discovered to improve the characteristics of cement at a later age, subject to replacement level.

**G. Srinivasa Rao, B. Sarath Chandra Kumar (2019):** Concrete is the most well-known material used in construction projects where concrete is the primary component. The production of concrete involves the release of harmful gases into the atmosphere, which are responsible for global warming. As a result, the research is now focused on various materials that may be used to replace and reduce the usage of concrete. Currently, Geopolymer concrete is being tested with Ground Granulated Impact Heater Slag (GGBS) and steel strand expansion. GGBS is a byproduct of the steel industry.Steel filaments are added to cement to increase its flexibility. Currently, experiment testing geopolymer concrete comprising GGBS and steel fibre (0.5 percent) with 8 Molar and 10 Molar basic activators is being conducted. These salt activators have a 1:2.5 ratio. The results showed that fibre may significantly enhance mechanical characteristics. The upgrading also grows in tandem with the expansion of the fibre volume segment.

**Baljot Kaur, Jagdish Chand (2019**): Right now, concrete is the most important point of view. With the help of this coupling substance, the entire development is completed. Because of the enormous interest in the construction of mega buildings, the utilisation of concrete has risen to the top in the most recent couple of decades. Despite the fact that concrete is the most often used

material, the need for it is steadily increasing in order to solve humanitarian concerns. As a result, the cost of concrete is rising as its popularity grows, and its availability is becoming increasingly limited. Assembling of concrete results in the release of CO2 and other gases, which lead to severe atmospheric devotion and, in turn, contribute to environmental change, making it one of the most perplexing materials. Its use cannot be stopped; however it can be limited by using other materials. This article addresses the replacement of concrete by fly detritus and GGBS. Investigations were conducted on plain concrete, which was then modified with the use of fly detritus and GGBS. Following that, research was conducted to consider the outcome of conventional cement and geopolymer concrete.

**Mr. Sanjay Kumar A C (2018):** The construction of concrete generates a great deal of pollution. To reduce such contamination, an important research subject is the investigation of alternative materials for solid production. Geopolymers have the potential to be appealing concrete alternatives in solid generation. The geopolymer solid matches the mechanical characteristics as well as the solidness features of conventional cement thanks to a polymerisation reaction with Fly Ash and Alkaline arrangement. Fly debris is one of the bi items used in the arrangement of the geo polymer concrete by mixing in with antacid arrangement and restored under 60 degrees in tourist oven to experience polymerisation.Currently, efforts are being undertaken to improve the mechanical characteristics of geopolymer concrete using GGBS and hybrid filaments. Ground granulated impact heater slag is supplemented with fly debris in percentages of 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80%. Steel fibre and glass fibre filaments are incorporated in a half-and-half mix. For each mechanical property test, three preliminary tests are conducted. The samples are restored for 7, 14, and 28 days, and the tests are aimed at comparing the relieving days. The results are compared to Geo polymer concrete with GGBS and Hybrid strands against Geo polymer concrete with GGBS but no half and half filaments.

**V. Keerthy (2017):** This paper deals with the quality properties of geopolymer concrete. The essential purpose of this envision is to use ground granulated effect warmer slag and fly red hot stays set up of normal Portland solid, remembering the ultimate objective to diminish carbon dioxide transmission. Strategy: From this, we can take a gander at the properties of geopolymer concrete with bond concrete. The fixings used as some portion of this envision are GGBS and Fly ash. Sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate are used as essential activators. The molarity of sodium hydroxide is 8M and 10M. The extent of dissolvable activators is 1:2. Calcium silicate is encircled when GGBS gets reacted with sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate. This calcium silicate goes about as a spread for coarse aggregate and fine aggregate. Discoveries: The reaction is said to be exothermic since the glow is created when calcium silicate is encircled. From now on, the fundamental warmth isn't required to start the polymerization method. The fly blazing remains and GGBS are superseded in 5 particular degrees (100% GGBS, 75% GGBS &25% Fly juice, half GGBS &50% Fly slag, 25% GGBS&75% Fly powder,). The relieving is done by putting models at room temperature.Currently, efforts are being undertaken to improve the mechanical characteristics of geopolymer concrete using GGBS and hybrid filaments. Ground granulated impact heater slag is supplemented with fly debris in percentages of 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80%. Steel fibre and glass fibre filaments are incorporated in a half-and-half mix. For each mechanical property test, three preliminary tests are conducted. The samples are restored for 7, 14, and 28 days, and the tests are aimed at comparing the relieving days. The results are

compared to Geo polymer concrete with GGBS and Hybrid strands against Geo polymer concrete with GGBS but no half and half filaments.

**A.Pavani, J.Rakesh, P.Gopichand, P.Suvarnaraju (2016):** the significant amount of carbon dioxide gas released into the atmosphere, the production of Portland concrete is under fundamental investigation. However, the clean-up of a massive amount of fly debris generated by the power plants is also becoming a big-time demanding concern. This is harmful to animals and vegetation since it pollutes the environment and necessitates a large area for clearance as land becomes increasingly scarce. A substantial number of facilities are currently facing a lack of disposal space for these waste products.The majority of this result material is currently dumped onshore fill, posing a risk to the environment. Attempts to develop the use of fly debris to partially replace the usage of Portland concrete in concrete have recently gained traction. Efforts are being made all over the world to cultivate earth-friendly development materials that make the best use of rapidly depleting common assets and aid to reduce ozone-harming substance outflows. Right now, are displaying amazing potential, and a few experts have essentially examined the various aspects of their usefulness as folio foundation.

**K. Ganesh Babu, V. Sree Rama Kumar (2000):** The employment of favourable cementitious materials is widely accepted because of the few advancements possible in solid composites and because of the overall economics. The current article is an attempt to quantify the cementitious efficacy of ground granulated impact heater slag (GGBS) in concrete at various replacement levels after 28 days. It was discovered that the general quality productivity of GGBS cements may also be assessed using an approach previously used for other cementitious materials such as fly ash and silica rage. The overall quality effectiveness was viewed as a combination of general productivity factor, age dependent component, and rate proficiency factor.Depending on the amount of supplanting, similar to the case with a number of other cementitious materials, for example, fly debris and silica rage disclosed before. This evaluation enables the design of GGBS cements for optimal quality at a random degree of replacement. All rights reserved. D 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd.

**Pratyush Kumar, Chaitanya Pankar, Divyank Manish, Santhi A.S (2018):** Geopolymers are a type of phoney polymer that is formed when an aluminosilicate source is activated or initiated by the usage of basic hydroxide and silicate arrangement. They have shown outstanding mechanical characteristics, great protection from synthetic chemicals, minimal shrinking, little injury to condition, and imposing solidness. Ground granulated impact heater slag (GGBS) is an excellent aluminosilicate source because it contains high levels of alumina and silica, both of which are required for geopolymerisation to occur. Currently, weight rates of GGBS and metakaolin soil have been taken with 80 percent -20 percent, 50 percent -half, and 20 percent -80 percent separately.As an antacid activator, a 10M solution of sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate was used. For practical reasons, including the alleviation of geopolymer testing, has been received. At 7 days after relieving, the degree of reaction was evaluated for all rate variations of geopolymer concrete. The compressive test, split tractable test, and four-point stacking test were used to evaluate the mechanical characteristics of geopolymer concrete. An ultrasonic heartbeat speed test was done to understand the supplementary legitimacy of the tossing of the geopolymer instances. The investigation of small-scale structure of geopolymer concrete was done by Fourier change infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) strategy.

**Martin O'Connell, Ciaran McNally, Mark G. Richardson (2013):** Concrete has historically been utilised as the primary component of wastewater treatment plants. Sulphate and acidic environments provide considerable problems. Supplementary cementitious materials (SCM) such as GGBS are being utilised in greater quantities in concrete and have been demonstrated to enhance the durability of concrete in this environment. They were typically used with CEM I cement, but in recent years, a revolution in concrete technique has resulted in the introduction of CEM II cements, which have a lower CO2 footprint and apparent environmental and economic benefits.Samples were also evaluated using CEM I cement, CEM I with GGBS, and a sulphate resistant Portland cement as a standard. The inclusion of GGBS resulted in significant decreases in sulphate induced expansion in all cases when compared to samples utilising EM I or CEM II binders alone. A modest increase in performance was also seen when compared to sulphate resistant Portland cement (SRPC) binders. However, in the sulfuric acid environment, the regime proved too severe, resulting in the early failure of all samples. There was some variation in performance, but it was not judged significant. The effects of pH and acid type were investigated.Due to the exceptionally severe character of this type of assault, the results were that the concretes evaluated cannot properly handle the durability threat to all components of wastewater infrastructure over a considerable life duration.

## CONCLUSION

At the moment, recently issued papers on the application and competence of GGBFS on the characteristics of mortar and cement are being examined. The general outline of the generation method and hydration response of GGBFS, as well as the influence of GGBFS usage on the new, mechanical, penetrability, and solidity-related characteristics, were thoroughly investigated. The goal was to draw attention to GGBFS use in terms of potential environmental consequences and specialised advantages for sustainable development. The following broad topics of interest in the use of GGBFS might be referred to: Huge influence on ecological insurance in terms of CO2 outflow and distinctive assets. Reduce the overall unit cost of solid generation. Functionality and rheological characteristics have been improved. I strongly adjusted the isolation antagonism and droop tragedy. Improving the long-term compressive quality, particularly in the last 40 days. Increasing the flexural quality in both the early and late stages of life. Because of the PC concrete, the static flexible modulus is equivalent or slightly greater. Comparable or lower all-out killjoy, comparable or lower necessary drag, and comparable or lower shrinkage. Improve the obstructed scraped area. Surprisingly, the water, chloride particle, and gas porousness are reduced. Improves the sulphate and soluble base silica reaction dramatically.

## REFERENCES

1. Cheng Hsin Chen, Ran Huang &Jiann-Kuo Wu "Preparation and properties of polymer impregnatedconcrete", Journal of the Chinese Institute of Engineers, 30:1, 163-168, DOI: 10.1080/02533839.2007.9671240.
2. Ali Sadr Momtazi1, Reza KohaniKhoshkbijari, Sadaf SabaghMogharab "Polymers in Concrete: Applications and Specifications".
3. R.Preetha, P.SivaNandhini, K.Sreenaath, S.Tharun, S.Vignesh Raja "Experimental Investigation on Strength Properties of GEO"Polymer Concrete using GGBS"

International Journal of ChemTech ResearchCODEN (USA): IJCRGG, ISSN: 0974-4290, ISSN (Online):2455-9555Vol.10 No.8, pp 765-771, 2017.

4. Eskinder Desta Shumuye and Zhao Jun. "A Review on Ground Granulated Blast Slag (GGBS) in Concrete" Proc. of the Eighth International Conference On Advances in Civil and Structural Engineering - CSE 2018Copyright © Institute of Research Engineers and Doctors. All rights reserved.ISBN: 978-1-63248-145-0 doi: 10.15224/ 978-1-63248-145-0-14.
5. G. Srinivasa Rao, B. Sarath Chandra KumarR.Preetha, P.SivaNandhini, K.Sreenaath, S.Tharun, S.Vignesh Raja Kumar "Experimental Investigation of GGBS based Geopolymer Concrete with Steel Fibers" International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-7, Issue-6C2, April 2019.
6. Baljot Kaur, Jagdish Chand "Replacement of concrete by geopolymer concrete by using fly ash and GGBS"International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE) ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-8 Issue-6, April, 2019.
7. V. Keerthy "Experimental studies on properties of GeoPolymer Concrete with GGBS and Fly Ash" International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET)Volume 8, Issue 1, January 2017, pp. 602–609, Article ID: IJCIET_08_01_069.
8. A.Pavani, J.Rakesh, P.Gopichand, P.Suvarnaraju "Conventional Concrete Over Geo Polymer Concrete Using GGBS"International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences (IJEAS) ISSN: 2394-3661, Volume-3, Issue-4, April 2016.
9. Oner S. Akyuz "An experimental study on optimum usage of GGBS forthe compressive strength of concrete" Cement & Concrete Composites 29 (2007) 505–514
10. Pratyush Kumar, Chaitanya Pankar, Divyank Manish, Santhi A.S "Study of mechanical and microstructural properties of geopolymer concrete with GGBS and Metakaolin" Materials Today: Proceedings 5 (2018) 28127–28135.
11. M.Rajaram,A.Ravichandran, A.Muthadhi "Studies on Optimum Usage of GGBS in Concrete" International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology" ISSN No: - 2456 – 2165.
12. S. Arivalagan *"*Sustainable Studies on Concrete with GGBS As a Replacement Material in Cement*" Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering,* Volume 8, No. 3, 2014.
14. Martin O'Connell, Ciaran McNally, Mark G. Richardson "Performance of concrete incorporating GGBS in aggressivewastewater environments" Construction and Building Materials 27 (2012) 368–374.