

Decomposition Of (α_H, λ) -Continuity

HB. Sudhir¹ and Dr. S. Subramanian²

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of αg_μ - H -closed sets and $(\alpha g_H, \lambda)$ -continuous functions in hereditary generalized topological spaces. Also we obtain a decomposition of (α_H, λ) -continuity and (σ_H, λ) -continuity on a hereditary generalized topological space.

1 Introduction

In the year 2002, Csaszar [1] introduced very useful notions of generalized topology ($G.T.$) and generalized continuity. A subset A of a space (Z, μ) is μ - α -open [2], if $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(A)$. Let us denote by $\alpha(\mu)$ that of all μ - α -open sets. The μ - α -interior [2] of a subset A of a $G.T.S.$ (Z, μ) denote by $i_\alpha(A)$, is defined by the union of all μ - α -open sets of (Z, μ) contained A . A subset A of (Z, μ) is said to be αg_μ -closed [5], if $c_\alpha(A) \subset M$ whenever $A \subset M$ and M is μ -open in (X, μ) . A nonempty family H of subsets of Z is said to be a *hereditary class* [3], if $A \in H$ and $M \subset A$, then $M \in H$. A $G.T.S.$ (Z, μ) with a hereditary class H is hereditary generalized topological space ($H.G.T.S.$) and denoted by (Z, μ, H) . For each $A \subseteq X$, $A^*(H, \mu) = \{z \in X : A \cap M \notin H \text{ for every } M \in \mu \text{ such that } z \in M\}$ [3]. For $A \subset Z$, define $c_\mu^*(A) = A \cup A^*(H, \mu)$ and $\mu^* = \{A \subset Z : Z - A = c_\mu^*(Z - A)\}$. Let A be a subset of $H.G.T.S.$ (Z, μ, H) is α - H -open [3], if $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. A map $f : (Z, \mu) \rightarrow (W, \lambda)$ is (μ, λ) -continuous

⁰Keywords : αg_μ - H -closed sets, $(\alpha g_H, \lambda)$ -continuous functions.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 54A05.

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics Prist University Tanjaavur, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Dean of Arts and Science, Prist University Tanjaavur, Tamil Nadu, India.

[1] (resp. (σ, λ) -continuous [4], (α, λ) -continuous [4]), if for each λ -open set M in W , $f^{-1}(M)$ is μ -open (resp. μ - σ -open, μ - α -open) in (Z, μ) .

Lemma 1.1. [[2], Lemma 2.2] Let (Z, μ) be a *G.T.S.* For any $L \subset Z$, we have

1. $i_\alpha(L) = L \cap i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(L)$.

2 αg_μ -H-closed

Definition 2.1. A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is said to be α -H-closed, if A^c is α -H-open.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $i_{\alpha H}(A)$ is the union of all α -H-open set contained in A .

Propositon 2.3. Let A be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $i_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cap i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$.

Proof. Let A be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } A \cap i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) &\subset i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \\ &= i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A))))) \\ &= i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(i_\mu(A) \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A)))))) \\ &= i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(i_\mu(A)))) \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A))) \\ &\subseteq i_\mu(c - \mu^*(i_\mu(A \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A))))) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A)))$ is an α -H-open in (X, μ, H) and contained in A . Thus, $A \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A))) \subset i_{\alpha H}(A)$.

Now $i_{\alpha H}(A)$ is α -H-open in (X, μ, H) . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\alpha H}(A) &\subset i_{\alpha H}(A) \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A))) \\ &\subseteq A \cap i_\mu(c_\mu^*(i_\mu(A))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $i_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cap i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$.

Definition 2.4. Let A be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $c_{\alpha H}(A)$ is intersection of all α -H-closed set containig A .

Propositon 2.5. *Let A be a suset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $c_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cup c_{\mu}i_{\mu}^*c_{\mu}(A)$.*

Proof. Let A be a suset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A)))))) &= c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A))) \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A))) \\ &= c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A))) \\ &\subseteq A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A))). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A)))$ is α -H-closed.

Hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A)))$.

Now, $c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A))) \subseteq c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(c_{\alpha H}(A)))) \subseteq c_{\alpha H}(A)$.

$\Rightarrow A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^*(c_{\mu}(A))) \subseteq A \cup c_{\alpha H}(A) = c_{\alpha H}(A)$ Thus, $A \cup c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq c_{\alpha H}(A)$.

Hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cup c_{\mu}i_{\mu}^*c_{\mu}(A)$.

Definition 2.6. *Let (X, μ, H) be a hereditary generalized topological space. A subset A of X is said to be αg_{μ} -H-closed if $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M$ whenever $A \subseteq M$ and M is μ -open.*

Theorem 2.7. *Every μ -closed set is αg_{μ} -H-closed set but not conversely.*

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is μ -closed such that $A \subseteq M$ and M is μ -open. Now $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset c_{\mu}(A) \subseteq M$ and M is μ -open. Hence A is αg_{μ} -H-closed set

Example 2.8. *Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d\}$ is αg_{μ} -H-closed set but not μ -closed.*

Theorem 2.9. *Every α -H-closed set is αg_{μ} -H-closed set but not conversely.*

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is α -H-closed. Consider M be any μ -open set and $A \subseteq M$. Since A is α -H-closed, so $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M$ whenever $A \subseteq M$ and M is μ -open. Hence A is αg_{μ} -H-closed.

Example 2.10. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d\}$ is αg_μ -H-closed set but not α -H-closed set.

Remark 2.11. The intersection of any two αg_μ -H-closed sets need not be αg_μ -H-closed set.

Example 2.12. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{a, c\}$ are two αg_μ -H-closed sets but $A \cap B = \{a\}$ is not a αg_μ -H-closed set.

Theorem 2.13. If a subset A of X is αg_μ -H-closed in (X, μ, H) , then $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ contains no nonempty μ -closed sets of (X, μ) .

Proof. Assume that A is αg_μ -H-closed. Let F be a non empty μ -closed set contained in $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$. Since $A \subseteq X - F$ and A is αg_μ -H-closed, $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq X - F$ and $F \subseteq X - c_{\alpha H}(A)$. Therefore, $F \subseteq c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) = \emptyset$, which implies that $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ contains no nonempty μ -closed sets.

Corollary 2.14. Let (X, μ) be a strong generalized topological space with hereditary class H and $A \subset X$ is αg_μ -H-closed. Then A is α -H-closed iff $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is μ -closed.

Proof. Let A be α -H-closed. If A is α -H-closed $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A = \emptyset$ and $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is μ -closed. Conversely, let $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ be μ -closed set, where A is α -H-closed. Then by Theorem 2.13, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ does not contain any non empty μ -closed set. Since $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is a μ -closed subset of itself, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A = \emptyset$ and hence A is α -H-closed.

Theorem 2.15. If A is μ -open and αg_μ -H-closed in (X, μ, H) then A is α -H-closed in (X, μ) .

Proof. Let A be a μ -open and αg_μ -H-closed in (X, μ, H) . Then $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq A$ and hence A is α -H-closed in (X, μ) .

Propositon 2.16. A subset A of X is αg_μ -H-closed in (X, μ, H) if and only if $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap F = \emptyset$ whenever $A \cap F = \emptyset$ and F is μ -closed in (X, μ, H) .

Proof. Assume that A is αg_μ -H-closed. Let $A \cap F = \emptyset$ and F is μ -closed. Then $A \subseteq X - F$ and $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq X - F$. Therefore, we have $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap F = \emptyset$. Conversely, let $A \subseteq M$ and M be μ -open. Then $A \cap (X - M) = \emptyset$ and $X - M$ is μ -closed. By hypothesis, $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - M) = \emptyset$ and hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M$. Therefore, A is an αg_μ -H-closed set.

Theorem 2.17. For a subset A of (X, μ) , the following properties are equivalent:

1. A is μ -locally closed,
2. $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$ for some $U \in \mu$,

DECOMPOSITION OF (α_H, λ) -CONTINUITY

3. $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is μ -closed,
4. $A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) \in \mu$,
5. $A \subset i_{\alpha H}(A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)))$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $A = U \cap V$, where $U \in \mu$ and V is μ -closed. Since $A \subset V$, we have $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset c_{\alpha H}(V) \subset c_{\mu}(V) = V$. Since $A \subset U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset U \cap V = A$. Therefore, we obtain $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$ for some $U \in \mu$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$ for some $U \in \mu$. Then, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A = c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap [X - (U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A))] = c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - U)$. Since $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - U)$ is μ -closed and hence, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is μ -closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). We have $X - (c_{\alpha H}(A) - A) = (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) \cup A$ and hence, by (3) we obtain $A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) \in \mu$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). By (4), $A \subset A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) = i_{\alpha H}(A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)))$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Let $U = i_{\alpha H}[A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A))]$. Then, $U \in \mu$ and $A = A \cap U \subset U \cap c_{\mu}(A) \subset [A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A))] \cap c_{\mu}(A) = A \cap c_{\mu}(A) = A$. Therefore, we obtain $A = U \cap c_{\mu}(A)$, where $U \in \mu$ and $c_{\mu}(A)$ is μ -closed. Hence A is μ -locally closed.

Theorem 2.18. *Let A and B be subsets of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . If $A \subset B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A)$ and A is αg_{μ} -H-closed, then B is αg_{μ} -H-closed.*

Proof. Assume that $A \subset B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A)$ and A is αg_{μ} -H-closed. Then we have $c_{\alpha H}(B) - B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$. Let F be a μ -closed set such that $F \subset c_{\alpha H}(B) - B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$. Since A is αg_{μ} -H-closed, therefore $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ has no non-empty μ -closed subset and hence $c_{\alpha H}(B) - B$ contains no nonempty μ -closed subset. Hence B is αg_{μ} -H-closed.

Theorem 2.19. *If a subset A of (X, μ, H) is αg_{μ} -H-closed and B is μ -closed, then $A \cap B$ is αg_{μ} -H-closed.*

Proof. Suppose that $A \cap B \subseteq M$, where M is μ -open in (X, μ, H) . Then $A \subseteq (M \cup (X - B))$. Since A is αg_{μ} -H-closed, $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M \cup (X - B)$ and hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap B \subseteq M$. Therefore, $c_{\alpha H}(A \cap B) \subseteq M$ which implies that $A \cap B$ is αg_{μ} -H-closed.

Definition 2.20. *A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is αg_{μ} -H-open if and only if A^c is αg_{μ} -H-closed.*

Theorem 2.21. *A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is αg_{μ} -H-open if and only if $F \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A)$ whenever F is μ -closed and $F \subset A$.*

Proof. Assume that $F \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A)$ whenever F is μ -closed and $F \subset A$. Let $A^c \subset M$, where M is μ -open. Then $M^c \subset A$ and M^c is μ -closed, therefore $M^c \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A)$, which implies $\alpha Hc_{\mu}(A^c) \subset M$. So A^c is αg_{μ} -H-closed. Hence A is αg_{μ} -H-open.

Conversely, suppose that A is αg_μ - H -open, $F \subset A$ and F is μ -closed. Then F^c is open and $A^c \subset F^c$. Therefore, $\alpha H c_\mu(A^c) \subset F^c$ and so $F \subset \alpha H i_\mu(A)$.

Theorem 2.22. *Every αg_μ - H -open set is αg_μ -open set but not conversely.*

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is αg_μ - H -open in (X, μ, H) . Then we have, $F \subset \alpha H i_\mu(A)$ whenever $F \subset A$ and F is μ -closed in (X, μ, H) .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } F \subset \alpha H i_\mu(A) &= A \cap i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \\ &\subseteq i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(A) \\ &= i_\alpha(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence A is αg_μ -open set by Theorem 2.11 [5].

Theorem 2.23. *Let A and B be subsets of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . If $i_{\alpha H}(A) \subset B \subset A$ and A is αg_μ - H -open, then B is αg_μ - H -open.*

Proof. Suppose that $i_{\alpha H}(A) \subset B \subset A$. Then $X - A \subset X - B \subset c_{\alpha H}(X - A)$. By Theorem 2.18, $X - B$ is αg_μ - H -closed. Hence B is αg_μ -open.

Proposition 2.24. *Let (X, μ) be a strong generalized topological space with hereditary class H . For each $x \in X$, either $\{x\}$ is μ -closed or $\{x\}$ is αg_μ - H -open.*

Proof. Let $\{x\}$ be not μ -closed. Then $X - \{x\}$ is not μ -open and the only μ -open set containing $X - \{x\}$ is X itself. Therefore $c_{\alpha H}(X - \{x\}) \subseteq X$ and hence $X - \{x\}$ is αg_μ - H -closed. Thus $\{x\}$ is αg_μ - H -open.

Remark 2.25. *The notions of μ^* -closed and αg_μ - H -closed are independent.*

Example 2.26. *Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d\}$ is αg_μ - H -closed set but not μ^* -closed.*

Example 2.27. *Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{c\}$ is μ^* -closed but not αg_μ - H -closed set.*

Definition 2.28. *A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is said to be H - R -closed, if $A = c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$.*

Theorem 2.29. *Every H - R -closed is σ - H -open but not conversely.*

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is H - R -closed in (X, μ, H) . Then $A = c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$, which implies $A \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. Hence A is σ - H -open.

Example 2.30. *Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and*

DECOMPOSITION OF (α_H, λ) -CONTINUITY

$H = \{\emptyset, \{d\}\}$. Then $A = \{c\}$ is σ -H-open but not H-R-closed.

Definition 2.31. A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is said to be

1. a η_μ -H-set, if $A = U \cap V$, where U is μ -open and V is α -H-closed.
2. a sA_H -set, if $A = U \cap V$, where U is σ - μ -open and V is H-R-closed.

Remark 2.32. The union of two η_μ -H-sets need not be a η_μ -H-set.

Example 2.33. Let $X = \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{\varsigma_1\}, \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{\varsigma_2\}, \{\varsigma_3\}, \{\varsigma_2, \varsigma_3\}\}$. Then $A = \{\varsigma_1\}$ and $B = \{\varsigma_3\}$ are η_μ -H-sets but $A \cup B = \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_3\}$ is not a η_μ -H-set.

Theorem 2.34. Let A and B be a subset of quasi topological space (X, μ) with hereditary class H . If A and B are η_μ -H-sets. Then $A \cap B$ is also an η_μ -H-set.

Proof. Let $A = U \cap V$ and $B = L \cap M$, where U and L are μ -open sets and V and M are α -H-closed sets. Now $A \cap B = (U \cap V) \cap (L \cap M) = (U \cap L) \cap (V \cap M)$, where $(U \cap L)$ is μ -open and $(V \cap M)$ is α -H-closed set. Hence $A \cap B$ is η_μ -H-set.

Theorem 2.35. For a subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) the following are equivalent :

1. A is η_μ -H-set
2. $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$, for some μ -open set U .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let A is η_μ -H-set. Then $A = U \cap V$, where U is μ -open V is α -H-closed. So $A \subset U$ and $A \subset V$. Which implies $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset \alpha H c_\mu(V)$. Therefore, $A \subset U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset U \cap \alpha H c_\mu(V) = U \cap V = A$. Hence $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$, for some μ -open set U . Here $c_{\alpha H}(A)$ is α -H-closed. Hence A is η_μ -H-set.

Theorem 2.36. In a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) , the following hold:

1. Every σ -H-open is sA_H -set.
2. Every H-R-closed set is sA_H -set.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 2.37. For a subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space

(X, μ, H) , the following are equivalent:

1. A is α - H -closed
2. A is αg_μ - H -closed set and η_μ - H -set.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). This is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let A is αg_μ - H -closed set and η_μ - H -set. Since A is η_μ - H -set, then $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$, where U is μ -open in (X, μ, H) . So $A \subseteq U$ and since A is αg_μ - H -closed, then $c_{\alpha H} \subseteq U$. Therefore $c_{\alpha H} \subseteq U \cap c_{\alpha H} = A$. Hence A is α - H -closed set.

Theorem 2.38. Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space (X, μ) with hereditary class H . Then Every sA_H -set is δ - H -open.

Proof. Let A be sA_H -set. Then $A = U \cap V$, where U is σ - H -open and V is H - R -closed. Since U is σ - H -open, $U \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(U)$. Now $A \subset U \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(U) \Rightarrow i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(U)$. Since V is H - R -closed, which implies $A \subset V = c_\mu^* i_\mu(V) \Rightarrow i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) \subset i_\mu(V)$. Thus $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(U) \cap i_\mu(V) \subset c_\mu^*(i_\mu(U) \cap i_\mu(V)) \subset c_\mu^*[i_\mu(U \cap V)] = c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. Hence A is δ - H -open.

Theorem 2.39. For a subset A of a quasi topological space (X, μ) with hereditary class H the following are equivalent:

1. A is σ - H -open
2. A is strong β - H -open and sA_H -set
3. A is strong β - H -open and δ - H -open

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let A is σ - H -open. Then $A \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \Rightarrow c_\mu^*(A) \subset c_\mu^* c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) = c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \Rightarrow i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) \subset i_\mu c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. So $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. Hence A is strong β - H -open and sA_H -set by Theorem (4.1.14.)

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let A is strong β - H -open and sA_H -set. Then A is strong β - H -open and δ - H -open by Theorem (4.1.16).

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let A is strong β - H -open and δ - H -open. Then $A \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu c_\mu^*(A)$ and $i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. Now $A \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu c_\mu^*(A) \subset c_\mu^* c_\mu^* i_\mu(A) = c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$, which implies $A \subset c_\mu^* i_\mu(A)$. Hence A is σ - H -open.

3 $(\alpha g_H, \lambda)$ -continuity

Definition 3.1. A function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is said to

DECOMPOSITION OF (α_H, λ) -CONTINUITY

1. (α_H, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a α -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.
2. (σ_H, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a σ -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.
3. (π_H, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a π -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.
4. (α_{g_H}, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a α_{g_μ} -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.
5. (η_H, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a α -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.
6. (R_H, λ) -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a H-R-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.
7. (sA_H, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a α -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.

Theorem 3.2. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

1. Every (α_H, λ) -continuous function is (α_{g_H}, λ) -continuous.
2. Every (α_{g_H}, λ) -continuous function is $(\alpha_{g_\mu}, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. (1). Let $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (α_H, λ) -continuous function. Now $f^{-1}(V)$ is α -H-open for each $V \in \lambda$. Since $f^{-1}(V)$ is α_{g_μ} -H-open. Hence f is (α_{g_H}, λ) -continuous.
 (2). Let f is (α_{g_H}, λ) -continuous, which implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is α_{g_μ} -H-open for each $V \in \lambda$. Now $f^{-1}(V)$ is α_{g_μ} -open. Hence f is $(\alpha_{g_\mu}, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$, $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$, $Y = \{p, q, r, s\}$ and $\lambda = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s, t\}, \{p, q, s, t\}, \{p, r, s, r\}, Y\}$. Let the function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is defined by $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r, f(d) = s, f(e) = r$. Then the function f is (α_{g_H}, λ) -continuous but not (α_H, λ) -continuous.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$, $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$, $Y = \{p, q, r, s\}$ and $\lambda = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s, t\}, \{p, q, s, t\}, \{p, r, s, r\}, Y\}$. Let the function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is defined by $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r, f(d) = s, f(e) = r$. Then the function f is (α_{g_H}, λ) -continuous but not $(\alpha_{g_\mu}, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Theorem 3.5. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

1. Every (σ_H, λ) -continuous function is (sA_H, λ) -continuous.
2. Every (R_H, λ) -continuous function is (sA_H, λ) -continuous.

Proof. Obvious.

Example 3.6. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, X\}$, $H = \{\emptyset, \{3\}\}$ and $\lambda = \{\emptyset, \{3\}, X\}$. Then the identity function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (sA_H, λ) -continuous but not (σ_H, λ) -continuous.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, X\}$, $H = \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$ and $\lambda = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2, 4\}, X\}$. Then the identity function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (sA_H, λ) -continuous but not (R_H, λ) -continuous.

4 Decomposition of (α_H, λ) -continuity

Definition 4.1. A function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is said to

1. strong (β_H, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a strong β -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.
2. (δ_H, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a δ -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.

Theorem 4.2. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

1. f is (α_H, λ) -continuity
2. f is (α_{gH}, λ) -continuity and (η_H, λ) -continuity.

Proof. This is obvious from Theorem 2.37.

Theorem 4.3. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

1. f is (σ_H, λ) -continuity
2. f is strong (β_H, λ) -continuity and (sA_H, λ) -continuity.
3. f is strong (β_H, λ) -continuity and (δ_H, λ) -continuity.

Proof. This is obvious from Theorem 2.39.

References

1. A. Csaszar, *Generalized topology, generalized continuity*. Acta Math. Hungar., **96**(2002), 351-357.
2. A. Csaszar, *Generalized open sets in generalized topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., **106**(1-2)(2005), 53-66.
3. A. Csaszar, *Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes*, ActaMath. Hungar., **115**(2007), 29-36.
4. W. K. Min, *Generalized continuous functions defined by generalized open sets on*

DECOMPOSITION OF (α_H, λ) -CONTINUITY

- generalized topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **128**(4)(2010), 299-306.
5. T. Noiri, M. Rajamani and R. Ramesh, *ag $_{\mu}$ -Closed sets in generalized topological spaces*, Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mathematics **3**(2013), 66-71.
 6. M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh, *Some new generalized topologies via hereditary classes*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. **30** **2**(2012), 71-77.
 7. M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh, *(ω_{μ}, λ) -continuity in generalized topological spaces*, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, **3**(10)(2012), 3696-3703.
 8. M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh, *A decomposition of (μ, λ) -continuity in generalized topological spaces*, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, **6**(1)(2013), 15 - 27.
 9. A. Al-Omari, M. Rajamani and R. Ramesh, *A - Expansion continuous maps and (A, B) -weakly continuous maps in hereditary generalized topological spaces*, Scientific Studies and Research, **23**(2) (2013), 13-22.
 10. R. Ramesh and R. Mariappan, *Generalized open sets in hereditary generalized topological spaces*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **5**(2) (2015), pp 149-159.
 11. R. Ramesh, R. Suresh and S. Palaniammal, *Decompositions of (μ_m, λ) -Continuity*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **14**(4)(2018), 603-610.
 12. R. Ramesh, R. Suresh and S. Palaniammal, *Decompositions of (μ, λ) -Continuity*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **14**(4)(2018), 619-623.
 13. R. Ramesh, R. Suresh and S. Palaniammal, *Decomposition of (π, λ) -continuity*, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology Engineering and Mathematics, 246-249.
 14. R. Ramesh, R. Uma and R. Mariappan, *Decomposition of (μ^*, λ) -continuity*, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology Engineering and Mathematics, 250-255.
 15. R. Ramesh, *Decomposition of $(\kappa\mu^*, \lambda)$ -continuity*, Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, **11**(VI), (2020), 2095-2101.