

**A Review On Replacement Of Sand With Sand Plus Fly Ash**

S. Hari Krishna<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Ramalakshmi<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT**

Around the world, around 500 million tons of coal burning results (CCPs) is created yearly. Fly debris establishes 70% of the side-effects created, and these cinders differ in their structure and properties dependent on the heater type and the gas outflow control framework. Fly cinders are utilized as fill material, antacid revisions, concrete, and grout material. In light of high-dissolvable salt substance and leachable full scale and micronutrients.-

Framework activities, for example, thruways, railroads, water stores, recovery and so on requires earth material in huge amount. In urban regions, get earth isn't effectively accessible which must be pulled from a long separation. Frequently, enormous zones are secured with exceptionally plastic and broad soil, which isn't reasonable for such a reason. Extensive research centre/field preliminaries have been done by different analysts and have demonstrated promising outcomes for use of such sweeping soil after adjustment with added

Substances, for example, sand, residue, lime, fly debris, and so on. As fly debris is unreservedly accessible, for extends in the region of a Thermal Power Plants, it tends to be utilized for adjustment of far reaching soils different employments. The present paper portrays a study in the properties of far reaching soil with fly debris in varying rates. Both research centre preliminaries and field tests have been completed and results are accounted in this paper. One of the significant challenges in field applications is intensive blending of the two materials (expansive soil and fly debris)in required extent to shape a homogenous mass. The paper depicts a strategy received for setting theses materials in layers of required thickness and working a circle horrow. A preliminary dike of 30 cm length by width and 0.6 cm high was effectively.

**KEY WORDS** – Compaction, field tests, fly ash, and laboratory tests, plastic clay, stabilization.

**INTRODUCTION**

Fly debris is the finely partitioned build-up that outcomes from the ignition of pummelled coal and is shipped .From the burning chamber by exhaust gases. Gases of coal-fired boilers. The

---

<sup>1</sup>UG Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai, India. Email- simhadrihari525@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai, India

particle size of fly ash varies from one sub-micron to several micrometers and mineral admixture, inert material and good Pozzolanic properties. In India, electricity is the main source of power for industries. For enormous reserve of coal in the country, coal-based Fly debris is a light coal dust turning out with the Power age is the significant wellspring of vitality, and the coal-created warm force stations. The gigantic consuming of low quality Indian coal results into age of different side-effects like base debris, heater slag and fly debris. Debris is the waste item left after the consuming of numerous flammable substances and "fly debris" is the term characterized for the finely isolated deposits those outcomes from the ignition of the ground coal. Fly debris is created by coal-terminated electric and steam producing plants. Ordinarily, coal is pounded and blown with air into the heater's burning chamber where it promptly lights, creating heat and delivering a liquid mineral build-up. Kettle tubes remove heat from the heater, cooling the pipe gas and making the liquid mineral build-up solidify and structure debris. Coarse debris particles, alluded to as base debris or slag, tumble to the base of the ignition chamber, while the lighter fine debris particles, named fly debris, stay suspended in the pipe gas. Before depleting the pipe gas, fly debris is expelled by particulate discharge control.

### **USES OF FLY ASH**

Fly debris is utilized as an advantageous cementations material (SCM) in the generation of Portland concrete cement. A beneficial cementations material, when utilized related to Portland concrete, adds to the properties of the solidified cement through pressure driven or Pozzolanic movement or both. Fly remains are utilized every year in an assortment of building applications. Average expressway building applications incorporate Portland concrete cement (PCC), Soil and Street base adjustment Flow able fills, grouts, Basic fill and Black-top filler.

### **SOILS**

Soil adjustment is the modification of soil properties to improve the designing execution of soils. The properties regularly adjusted are thickness, water substance, versatility and quality. Alteration of soil properties is the brief improvement of sub level steadiness to speed up development. Class C fly debris and Class F-lime item mixes can be utilized in various geotechnical applications normal with thruway development.

To improve quality properties Balance out banks to control contract swell properties of broad soils drying specialist to lessen soil dampness substance to allow compaction. Adjustment is the change of soil properties to improve the designing execution of soils. The properties regularly adjusted are thickness, water substance, pliancy and quality. Alteration of soil properties is the transitory improvement of sub level soundness to facilitate development. Class C fly debris and Class F-lime item mixes can be utilized in various geotechnical applications basic with interstate development:

### **CLAY SOIL**

Clay is a finely-grained natural rock or soil material that consolidates one or more clay minerals with potential follows of quartz ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ), metal oxides ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{MgO}$  etc.) and organic matter. Geologic clay deposits are for the most part formed of phyllosilicate minerals containing variable sums of water trapped in the mineral structure. Muds are plastic due to molecule size

## A REVIEW ON REPLACEMENT OF SAND WITH SAND PLUS FLY ASH

and geometry just as water content, and become hard, fragile and non-plastic after drying or firing. Depending on the dirt's content in which it is discovered, mud can show up in different hues from white to dull dark or darker to profound orange-red. Thickness, water substance, versatility and quality. Change of soil properties is the brief improvement of sub level soundness to assist development. Class C fly debris and Class F-lime item mixes can be utilized in various geotechnical applications normal with roadway development:

### SANDY SOIL

Sand is a granular material composed of finely divided rock and mineral particles. It is defined by size, being finer than gravel and coarser than silt. Sand can also refer to a textural class of soil or soil type; i.e., a soil containing more than 85 percent sand-sized particles by mass. The composition of sand varies, depending on the local rock sources and conditions, but the most common constituent of sand in inland continental settings and non-tropical coastal settings is silica (silicon dioxide, or SiO<sub>2</sub>), usually in the form of quartz.

It is mainly found in the western Rajasthan, southern Haryana, south west Punjab, north western parts of Gujarat.

### TEST METHODS

#### 1. Direct shear test:

It is a lab or field test utilized by geotechnical engineers to measure the shear strength properties of soil or rock material, or of discontinuities in soil or rock masses. The upsides of the immediate shear test over other shear tests are the effortlessness of arrangement and hardware utilized, and the capacity to test under varying immersion, seepage, and combination conditions. These points of interest must be weighed against the trouble of estimating pore-water pressure when testing in undrained conditions, and conceivable falsely high outcomes from compelling the disappointment plane to happen in a particular area.

Direct shear test or Box shear test is utilized to decide the shear quality of the dirt. It is progressively appropriate for union less soil.

Shear quality of dirt is its most extreme protection from shearing stresses.

$$s = c' + \bar{\sigma} \tan \phi'$$

Where  $C'$  = Effective cohesion

$\bar{\sigma}$  = Effective stress Resistance.

$\phi'$  = Effective angle of shearing

## 2. SPECIFIC GRAVITY –

The particular gravity of strong soil is frequently required for different computations. Furthermore, it is characterized as the proportion of the heaviness of a given volume of the dirt to the heaviness of an equivalent volume of refined water. The general scopes of the estimations of Gs for different soils fall inside (2.6 – 2.9) and are given in the table beneath:

$G_s = \text{unit weight or thickness of soil strong} / \text{unit weight or thickness of water. } G_s = W_s / (V_s * \rho)$

**3. MOISTURE CONTENT--**The water substance of the fly debris balanced out soil blend influences the quality. The most extreme quality acknowledged in soil-fly debris blends by and large happens at dampness substance underneath ideal dampness content for thickness. For sediment and earth soils the ideal dampness content for quality is commonly four to eight percent underneath ideal for most extreme thickness. For granular soils the ideal dampness content for greatest quality is commonly one to three percent beneath ideal dampness for thickness. In this way, it is significant that dampness content be controlled during development. Dampness content is generally estimated utilizing an atomic thickness estimation gadget.

**4. CBR TESTING -** The California bearing proportion test is the entrance test used to assess the sub level quality of streets and asphalts. The weight up to an entrance of 12.5 mm and its proportion to the bearing estimation of a standard squashed stone is named as CBR. In most case, CBR diminishes as the infiltration increments.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

**Swamy et al (1983):** revealed that solid blends containing 30 percent by weight of fly debris (ASTM Class F) could be proportioned to have satisfactory usefulness and mid one-day quality and versatile modulus for basic applications. The measurements of admixtures or super plasticizers were acclimated to acquire cohesiveness and usefulness with droops more than 4 inches (100mm) for simple spot capacity in auxiliary individuals with steel support.

Fly remains display 'pozzolanic action'. The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM, 1975) characterizes a pozzolan as 'a siliceous or siliceous and aluminous material which in itself has next to zero cementations worth, in any case, which will, in a partitioned structure and within the sight of dampness, artificially respond with calcium hydroxide [Ca (OH)<sub>2</sub>] at normal temperature to shape mixes having cementations properties.

**Flase and others (1984)** on their investigations on concrete fly debris frameworks (WiS=0.45 to 0.47) have announced that C'a (OH)\* content declines following 14 days, because of pozzolanic response. 'The reduction in C'a (OH)<sub>2</sub> in concrete followed because of disintegration of fly debris surface and the expansion of solidarity by fly debris.

**Yueming Fan et al (1999):** conducted experimental study on fly ash activation and its effects on cement properties. They used Ca (OH)<sub>2</sub> and a small quantity of Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> for the chemical activation of fly ash. Scanning electron microscopy, differential thermal analysis, x-ray diffraction analysis, alkali-absorption test, and strength measurement showed that the activity of

activated fly ash by this method was obviously increased; this can accelerate cement early hydration and promote setting and hardening.

**Saraswathy et al (2002);** directed physical, warm and compound actuation of fly debris and their exhibition attributes tried by forceful large scale cell erosion method. The remarkable highlights of the examinations were: steel inserted in OPC and natural fly debris joined concrete endured extreme erosion under full scale cell consumption condition. In any case, OPC containing treated fly debris improved the erosion safe properties to a more prominent degree. The middle of the road furthest reaches of substitution level for different treated fly cinders under forceful full scale cell erosion condition is seen as 20 %-30%. Under 30% substitution level, artificially enacted fly debris framework indicated most minimal consumption rate, least large scale cell current under quickened large scale cell erosion conditions.

**Rafat Siddique (2003):** completed trial examination which manages concrete joining high volumes of class F fly debris. Portland concrete was supplanted by 40, 45 and 50 percent separately with class F fly 12 debris. Tests were performed for both new and solidified solid properties. He infers that the supplanting of concrete with these rates of fly debris content decreased the compressive quality, parting rigidity, flexural quality and modulus of flexibility of cement at 28 years old days, however there was a nonstop and huge improvement in quality properties past 28 days. The quality of cement with 40%, 45% and half fly debris content, even at 28 days is adequate enough for use in strengthened concrete solid development. Scraped area obstruction of cement was firmly impacted by its compressive quality, independent of fly debris content. Scraped spot obstruction was found to increment with the expansion in age for every solid blend.

**Singh (2007):** reported about the performance of concrete with fly ash replacements above 30 - 35%. His study presents the properties of HVFAC with 50%. Fly ash used on two demonstration projects in New Delhi, India. These demonstration projects were aimed to make Indian professionals comfortable with this type of concrete made with local materials and Indian site conditions. Several engineering parameters were monitored for nearly a year on samples collected at the time of casting as well as field cores from the site. The results show that HVFAC is indeed an excellent material with later age properties superior to conventional concrete, namely compressive strength, flexural strength, elastic modulus, abrasion resistance and permeability.

**Phanikumar and Sharma (2004):** A comparative report was completed by Phanikumar and Sharma what's more, the impact of fly debris on designing properties of far reaching soil through an exploratory program. The impact on parameters like free swell record (FSI), swell potential, growing weight, versatility, compaction, quality and water driven conductivity of far reaching soil was contemplated. The debris mixed far reaching soil with fly ash substance of 0,5, 10,15 and 20% on a dry weight premise and they induced that expansion in fly ash content decreases plasticity qualities and the FSI was diminished by about half by the expansion of 20% fly debris. The pressure driven conductivity of far reaching soils blended in with fly ash diminishes with an expansion in fly ash content, because of the increment in most extreme dry unit weight with an expansion in fly ash content. When the fly ash content builds there is a diminishing in the ideal dampness content and the greatest dry unit weight increments.

**Latifi et al. (2015)** examined the physico-concoction and quality attributes of fly ash-base debris blend at multi day and 28 days of restoring. Base debris and fly ash sieved through 2.00mm work was taken up for study. The outcomes affirmed the development of new cementations item calcium silicate hydrate when water was added to the blend. Additionally modulus of versatility diminished with no noteworthy impact on shear quality with expanding base debris content.

**S.Bhuvaneshwari and S.R. Gandhi:** An examination was done by S.Bhuvaneshwari and S.R. Gandhi on the impact of designing properties of far reaching soil through a test program. Foundation ventures, for example, roadways, railroads, water repositories, recovery and so on requires earth material in exceptionally enormous amount. In urban regions, get earth isn't effectively accessible which must be pulled from a long separation. Regularly, enormous regions are secured with profoundly plastic and extensive soil, which isn't reasonable for such reason. Broad lab/field preliminaries have been done by different scientists and have indicated promising outcomes for utilization of such extensive soil after adjustment with added substances, for example, sand, sediment, lime, Fly Ash, and so forth. As Fly Ash is unreservedly accessible, for ventures in the region of a Thermal Power Plants, it can be utilized for adjustment of far reaching soils for different employments. The present paper depicts an examination did to check the enhancements in the properties of extensive soil with Fly Ash in changing rates. Both research facility preliminaries and field tests have been done and results are accounted for in this paper. One of the major troubles in field application is intensive blending of the two materials (sweeping soil and Fly Ash) in required extent to shape a homogeneous mass. The paper portrays a technique embraced for setting these materials in layers of required thickness and working a "Circle Harrow". A preliminary bank of 30m length by 6m width by 0.6m high was effectively developed and the in-situ tests completed demonstrated its reasonableness for development of dike, debris dykes, filling low-laying territories, and so on.

**Edil et al. [1]** assessed the viability of self-establishing fly remains for adjustment of delicate fine-grained soils. California bearing proportion (CBR) and versatile modulus tests were directed on blends. Diverse delicate fine grained soils, for example, inorganic soils and natural soil what's more, extraordinary fly remains were utilized. Two of the fly cinders are great Class C remains and the different remains are off-detail cinders. Tests were directed on soils and soil-fly debris blends arranged at ideal was content and diverse wet of ideal water content. The outcomes indicated that expansion of fly debris altogether, expanded the CBR and of the inorganic soils. On the other hand, CBR of soil-fly debris blends commonly expanded with fly debris content and diminished with expanding compaction water content. Moreover, fly debris ought to be harden over time to expand the obstruction of the asphalt. Natural soil normally had a lot of lower CBR and values from inorganic soils. Nonetheless, for wetter or progressively plastic fine grained soils the strong modulus had further increment.

**Cokca [2]** utilized from high-calcium and low-calcium class C fly cinders for adjustment of a far reaching soil and assessment of the extensive soil-lime, sweeping soil-concrete, and broad soil-fly debris frameworks. Lime, concrete and fly debris were added to the far reaching soil at various rates. The examples were exposed to synthetic piece, grain size appropriation, consistency cut-off points, and free swell tests. Likewise, the Specimens with fly debris were restored and after that they were exposed to oedometer free swell tests. It very well may be inferred that the sweeping soil can be effectively balanced out by fly remains. Besides, pliancy

list, movement, and growing capability of the examples diminished with expanding level of stabilizer and relieving time.

**Pandian et.al. (2002).** Considered the impact of two kinds of Fly Ashes Raichur Fly Ash (Class F) and Neyveli Fly Debris (Class C) on the CBR qualities of the dark cotton soil. The Fly Ash content was expanded from 0 to 100%. By and large the CBR/quality is contributed by its attachment and erosion. The CBR of BC soil, which comprises of prevalently of better particles, is contributed by attachment. The CBR of Fly Ash, which comprises prevalently of coarser particles, is contributed by its frictional segment. The low CBR of BC soil is ascribed to the innate low quality, which is because of the strength of mud division. The expansion of Fly Ash to BC soil expands the CBR of the misunderstanding to the primary ideal level because of the frictional opposition from Fly Debris notwithstanding the union from BC soil. Further expansion of Fly Ash past the ideal level causes a decline up to 60% and afterward up to the subsequent ideal level there is an expansion. Accordingly the variety of CBR of Fly Ash-BC soil blends can be ascribed to the general commitment offrictional or durable opposition from Fly Debris or BC soil, separately. In Neyveli Fly Ash additionally there is an expansion of solidarity with the increment in the Fly Debris content, here there will be extra puzzolonic response shaping cementitious mixes coming about in great official between BC soil and Fly Ash particles.

**Seco et al. (2011)** explored the adjustment of sweeping soil with modern side-effects, for example, regular gypsum, rice husk debris, coal fly debris, coal base debris, steel fly debris and aluminium filler with lime, concrete furthermore, lime + concrete. The examples with various extents of added substances (2% also, 4% of lime and concrete exclusively, 1% lime and 2% concrete just as 2% lime and 1% concrete consolidated together) were blended in with water content equivalent to ideal dampness content. Every other added substance was utilized at 5%. Additives wealthy in divalent particles caused flocculation and establishing gel arrangement. Added substances wealthy silica and aluminium oxides advance pozzolan responses in soil and added substances wealthy in monovalent cations caused scattering of dirt. Thinking about all realities, Rice husk debris wealthy in silica, demonstrated a superior added substance in decreasing swell and improving mechanical properties.

**Prasad and Sharma [3]** assessed the viability of clayey soil mixed with sand and fly debris for soil adjustment by examining the sub grade qualities. The reason for this work is to discover an answer for legitimate removal of fly debris and furthermore gives great sub grade material to asphalt development. The outcomes demonstrated that considerable improvement in compaction and

California bearing proportion of composite containing mud, sand and fly debris. The growing of the dirt likewise diminished after adjustment. The greatest dry thickness of earth sand-fly debris blend diminished with the expansion of fly debris and ideal dampness content expanded. Along these lines the settled soil can be utilized for development of adaptable asphalts in low rush hour gridlock regions.

**Kumar and Sharma [5]** exhibited an investigation of the viability of fly debris in Building qualities of sweeping soils. A trial program assessed the impact of the fly debris on the free swell file, swell potential, growing weight, versatility, compaction, quality, and pressure driven conductivity attributes of far reaching soil. The outcomes indicated that the pliancy, pressure driven conductivity and expanding properties of the mixes diminished and the dry unit weight

and quality expanded with an expansion in fly debris content. The protection from infiltration of the blends expanded altogether with an expansion in fly debris content for specific water content. Brilliant relationship was gotten between the deliberate and anticipated undrained shear qualities what's more, the undrained union (*ccuu*) of the extensive soil mixed with fly debris expanded with the fly debris content.

**Kate [6]** -- investigated the probability of using the fly debris with or without lime for balancing out the sweeping soils to improve quality and volume change conduct. The free swell file, swell, growing weight and unconfined compressive quality tests have been directed on broad soils with blending bentonite in with kaolin dirt in various extents. The outcomes demonstrated that the Growing attributes, for example, free swell list, greatest swell and expanding pressure diminished with increment in level of fly debris. These qualities are diminished extensively by expansion of little level of lime to fly debris. Insignificant changes in Unconfined Compressive Strength values have been seen with increment in level of fly debris. While, the expansion of lime builds these qualities fundamentally. These dirt that settled with fly debris alone didn't appear stamped change in prompt quality. Be that as it may, restoring caused an exceptional increment in their qualities. As a conclusive outcome, the dirt with low expansivity can be balanced out with suitable level of fly debris alone. Nonetheless, for medium to high expansivity should be utilized from little level of lime and fly debris.

**Kumar Pal and Ghosh [7]** Introduced the union and expanding attributes of fly debris what's more, montmorillonite dirt mixes. Various sorts of fly debris with various level of Montmorillonite mud was added to every example. Examples were compacted at the ideal dampness content and the greatest dry thickness. In such manner, the standard Proctor compaction tests were utilized. Besides, the impact of porousness, free swell file and pliancy of fly debris montmorillonite dirt blends were assessed. The outcomes demonstrated that quick settlement of Fly debris happens in a brief timeframe during solidification, and auxiliary settlement is insignificant. There was no huge change in vertical pressure of fly debris tests. The pressure record (*cccc*) of the fly cinders and montmorillonite dirt respectively, indicated quick union and continuance enormous misshaping of blends. In this way, in delicate soils, fly debris can be utilized to lessen bank settlement.

## CONCLUSION

In this paper stress strain behavior of unconfirmed compressive strength showed that failure stress and strains increased by 100% and 50% respectively when the fly ash content was increased from 0 to 20%. The addition of fly ash resulted in MDD reduction, believed to be caused by fly ash being light weight in comparison to sand only. The electro plating waste sludge is to be treated and precipitated by lime, before stabilizing it with fly ash and cement. The extra lime in the waste sludge will participate in pozzolanic reaction with fly ash. Hence, making the mix stronger and less permeable. The swelling potential of expensive soil decreases with increasing swell reduction layer thickness ratio.

## REFERENCE



## A REVIEW ON REPLACEMENT OF SAND WITH SAND PLUS FLY ASH

1. **Chen, F. H. (1988)**, "Establishments on clearing soils", Chen and Associates, Elsevier Publications, U.S.A.
2. **Dwivedi A, Jain MK**. Fly debris – squander the board and design: A diagram. *Advancing Research in Science and Innovation*, 2014, 6:30-35.
- 3 **Chakra diletantish AK, Anand S, Kaul M**. Dry trash assortment, overseeing and move. *Techniques for Seminar on Ash Use*, NTPC, Korba, 1993, 58-66.
4. **Maiti SS**. Evaluation and usage of fly trash as significant material in developing. Ph.D.Recommendation. Calcutta School, 1993, 1-77.
5. **Sharma S, Fulekar MH, Jayalakshmi CP**. Fly trash segments in soil water structures. *Basic Reviews in Environmental Control*, 1989, 19: 251-275.
6. **NTPC Publication**. NTPC control for clients of coal trash. NTPC, Noida, 2003.
7. **Chang AC, Lund LJ, Page AL, Warneke J E**. Physical properties of fly trash balanced soils. *J Environ Qual*, 1977, 6: 276-290.
8. **Adriano DC, Page AL, Elseewi AA, Chang AC, Straughan I**. Use and move of fly trash and one of kind advancements in earthly conditions: An outline. *J Environ Qual*, 1980, 9: 333-343.
9. **Rees WJ, Sidrak GH**. Plant sustenance on fly junk. *Plant Soil*, 1956, 8: 141-159.
10. **Abernathy RF**. Spectrochemical assessment of coal junk for follow sections. *Contributing*. 7281. U.S. Division of Inside, Washington, D.C, USA, 1969.
11. **Martens DC, Schnappinger MG Jr, Zelazny LW**. The plant accessibility of potassium in fly junk. *Soil Sci Soc Am Proc*, 1970, 34: 453-456.
12. **Doran JW, Martens DC**. **Molybdenum** accessibility as impacted by use of fly garbage to soil. *J Environ Qual*, 1972, 1: 186-189.
13. **Natusch DFS, Bauer CF, Matu- Siewica an, Evans CA, Baker J, et al**. Depiction of follow parts in fly trash. *Int Conf Heavy Metals Environ*, Toronto, 1975, Pp: 553- 576.
14. **Kaakinen JW, Jordan RM, Lawasani MH, West RE**. Follow part lead in coal ended power plant. *Environ Sci Technol*, 1975, 9: 862-867.
15. **Bern J**. Developments from power age: Handling, reusing and dispersal. In: *Land use of waste materials*. Soil Conserv Soc Am Ankeny, Iowa, 1976, Pp: 36-42.
16. **Furr AK, Parkinson TF, Hinrichs RA, Van Champan DR, Bache CA, et al**. National review of

Parts and radio Action on fly flotsam and jetsam: Absorption of parts by cabbage created on fly flotsam and jetsam soil mix. Environ Sci Technol, 1977, 11: 1194-1201.

18. **Page AL, Bingham FT, Lund LJ, Bradford GR, Elsewi AA.** Results of follow segment upgrade of soils Also, vegetation from the consuming of fills used in power age. S Calif Edison Res and Dev Ser, 1977, 77-RD-29.

19. **Page AL, Elsewi AA, Straughan I.** Physical and invention properties of fly flotsam and jetsam from coal ended power plants with Reference to environmental impacts. Development Rev, 1979, 71: 83-120.

20. **Phung HT, Lund LJ, Page AL, Bradford GR.** Follow segments in fly flotsam and jetsam and their release in water and treated soils. J Environ Qual, 1979, 8: 171-175.

21. **Evans D, Giesy J. Pergamon Press Ecol Coal Res. Devpur RF, Wali M. (edtr),** New York, 1979, 782-790.

23. **Crecelius EA.** Follow segments leachability from fly flotsam and jetsam in seawater. Marine Chem., 1980, 8: 245-250.

24. Environmental Protection Agency Report. Segment stream in ocean going systems incorporating coal ended power plants. 1980.

25. **Elsewi AA, Page AL, Doyle CP.** Regular depiction of follow segments in fly flotsam and jetsam. In: Trace substances in Environmental Wellbeing. Systems of Symposium, College of Missouri, Columbia, 1982.

26. **Fulekar MH, Naik DS, Dave JM.** Overpowering metals in Indian coals and contrasting fly flotsam and jetsam and their association with particle size. Int J Environ Stud, 1983, 21: 179-182.

27. **Maiti SS, Mukhopadhyay M, Gupta SK, Banerjee SK.** Evaluation of fly flotsam and jetsam as a supportive material in cultivating. Ind Soc Soil Sci, 1990, 38: 342-344.

29. **Singh VK, Singh JS.** Environmental defilement of Obra-Renukoot- Singrauli locale and its impact on normal and gathered organic framework. Parts in fly trash. Int Conf Heavy Metals Environ, Toronto, 1975, Pp: 553-576.