Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI) Volume 12, Issue 9, August 2021: 7612-7617

Research Article

# Coincidence Point and Fixed-Point Theorem in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces Snehlata Mishra<sup>1</sup>, Anil Kumar Dubey<sup>2</sup>, Vaibhav Upadhyay<sup>3</sup>, Sanjay Sharma<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,

Dr.C.V.Raman University, kota, Bilaspur(C.G.), India.

<sup>2,3,4</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics,

Bhilai Institute of Technology,

Bhilai House Durg, Chhattisgarh, 491001, India.

Snehmis76@gmail.com, anilkumardby70@gmail.com, vaibhavupadhyay138@gmail.com, sanjay.sharma@bitdurg.ac.in

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove a coincidence point and fixed point result in partially ordered metric spaces. The proved result generalizes and extends some Known results in the literature.

Keywords and phrases: coincidence point, compatible mappings, partially ordered metric spaces.

2020 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

#### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The Banach contraction principle plays a vital role to obtain an unique solution of the results. There are a lot of generalization of the Banach contraction principle in the literature (see [1]-[8] and references cited therein.) Several research work has been obtained on various spaces such as quasi metric spaces, probabilistic metric spaces, D-metric spaces, fuzzy metric spaces, G-metric spaces, cone metric spaces, complex valued metric spaces, and so on to prove the existing results. Recently , many authors have obtained fixed point, common fixed point and coincidence point results in partially ordered metric spaces (see [ 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17,]).

The aim of this paper is to prove some coincidence point and common fixed point results in partially ordered metric spaces for a pair of self-mappings satisfying a generalized contractive condition of rational type. Our results generalize and extend the results of Rao et al.[14] and Chandok et al.[15] in ordered metric space.

The following definitions are frequently used in results given in upcoming sections.

**Definition 1.** The triple  $(X, d, \leq)$  is called a partially ordered metric space, if  $(X, \leq)$  is partially ordered set together with (X, d) is a metric space.

**Definition 2.** If (X, d) is a complete metric space, then the triple  $(X, d, \leq)$  is called a partially ordered complete metric space.

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be partially ordered set. A self-mapping  $f: X \to X$  is said to be strictly increasing, if f(x) < f(y), for all x,  $y \in X$  with x < y and is also said to be strictly decreasing, if f(x) > f(y), for all x,  $y \in X$  with x < y.

**Definition 4.** A point  $x \in A$ , where A is a non-empty subset of metric space (X, d) is called a common fixed (coincidence) point of two self-mappings f and T if fx = Tx = x(fx = Tx).

**Definition 5.** The two self-mappings f and T defined over a subset A of a metric space (X, d) are called commuting if fTx = Tfx for all  $x \in A$ .

**Definition 6.** Two self-mappings f and T defined over  $A \subset X$  are compatible, if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \operatorname{Tx}_n = u$ , for some  $u \in A$  then  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(T(fx_n), f(Tx_n)) = 0$ .

**Definition 7.** Two self-mappings f and T defined over  $A \subset X$  are said to be weakly compatible, if they commute at their coincidence points. i.e., if fx = Tx then fTx = Tfx.

**Definition 8.** Let *f* and T be two self-mappings defined over a partially ordered set  $(X, \leq)$ . A mapping T is called a monotone *f* non-decreasing if

 $fx \leq fy$  implies  $Tx \leq Ty$ , for all,  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 9.** Let A be a non-empty subset of a partially ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  If any two elements of A are comparable then it is called well ordered set.

**Definition 10.** A partially ordered metric space  $(X, d, \leq)$  is called an ordered complete, if for each convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{+\infty} \subset X$ , one of the following condition holds

- If  $\{x_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that  $x_n \to x$  implies  $x_n \leq x$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that is,  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$  or
- If  $\{x_n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence in X such that  $x_n \to x$  implies  $x \leq x_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that is,  $x = \inf\{x_n\}$ .

### 2. Main Results

In this section, we prove some coincidence point theorem in the context of ordered metric space.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a complete partially ordered metric space. Suppose that the self-mappings f and T on X are continuous, T is a monotone f-nondecreasing. $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and satisfying the condition:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx,Ty) &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{d(fx,Tx)d(fy,Ty)}{d(fx,fy)} \right) + \beta [d(fx,fy)] + \gamma [d(fx,Tx) + d(fy,Ty)] \\ &+ \delta [d(fx,Ty) + d(fy,Tx)] \end{aligned}$$
.....(2.1)

for all x, y in X with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  are comparable, where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$  with  $0 \le \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$ . If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \le T(x_0)$  and the mappings T and f are compatible, then T and f have a coincidence point in X.

Proof. Let  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \leq T(x_0)$ . Since from hypotheses, we have  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  then, we can choose a point  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $fx_1 = Tx_0$ . But  $Tx_1 \in f(X)$  then, again there exists another point  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $fx_2 = Tx_1$ . By continuing the same way, we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $fx_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . for all n.

Again, by hypotheses, we have  $f(x_0) \leq T(x_0) = f(x_1)$  and T is a monotone f – nondecreasing mapping then, we get  $T(x_0) \leq T(x_1)$ . Similarly, we obtain  $T(x_1) \leq T(x_2)$ , since  $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$  and then by continuing the same procedure, we obtain that

 $T(x_0) \leq T(x_1) \leq T(x_2) \leq \dots T(x_n) \leq T(x_{n+1}) \leq \dots$ 

The equality  $T(x_{n+1}) = T(x_n)$  is impossible because  $f(x_{n+2}) \neq f(x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus

 $d(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) > 0$  for all  $n \ge 0$  therefore, from contraction condition (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) d(fx_n, Tx_n)}{d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n)} \right) + \beta [d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n)] \\ &+ \gamma [d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) + d(fx_n, Tx_n)] + \delta [d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_n) + d(fx_n, Tx_{n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \alpha \left( \frac{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)}{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})} \right) + \beta [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})] + \gamma [d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)] + \delta [d(Tx_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n+1})]$$

$$= \alpha[d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})] + \beta[d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})] + \gamma[d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)] + \delta[d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n+1})]$$
  
=  $\alpha[d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})] + \beta[d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})] + \gamma[d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)]$ 

+ 
$$\delta[d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})]$$
  
=  $(\alpha + \delta + \gamma) d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + (\beta + \gamma + \delta)d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$ 

which implies that

$$d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \le \left(\frac{\beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - (\alpha + \gamma + \delta)}\right) d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})$$

Continuing the same process up to (n-1) times, we get

$$d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \le \left(\frac{\beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - (\alpha + \gamma + \delta)}\right)^n d(Tx_1, Tx_0)$$

Let  $k = \frac{\beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - (\alpha + \gamma + \delta)} \in [0, 1)$ , then from triangular inequality for m  $\ge$  n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_m, Tx_n) &\leq d(Tx_m, Tx_{m-1}) + d(Tx_{m-1}, Tx_{m-2}) + \dots \dots + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq (k^{m-1} + k^{m-2} + \dots \dots + k^n) d(Tx_1, Tx_0) \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(Tx_1, Tx_0) \end{aligned}$$

as m, n  $\rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $d(Tx_m, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ , which shows that the sequence  $\{Tx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. So, by the completeness of X, there exists a point  $u \in X$  such that  $Tx_n \rightarrow u$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Again, by the continuity of T, we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} T(Tx_n) = T(\lim_{n \to +\infty} (Tx_n)) = Tu$$

But  $fx_{n+1} = Tx_n$ , then  $fx_{n+1} \to u$  as  $n \to +\infty$  and from the compatibility for T and f, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T(fx_n), f(Tx_n)) = 0$$

Further by triangular inequality, we have

$$d(Tu, fu) = d(Tu, T(fx_n)) + d(T(fx_n), f(Tx_n)) + d(f(Tx_n), fu)$$

On taking limit as  $n \to +\infty$  in both sides of the above equation and using the fact that T and f are continuous then, we get d(Tu, fu) = 0. Thus Tu = fu. Hence, u is a coincidence point of T and f in X.

**Corollary** 1. Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a complete partially ordered metric space. Suppose that the self-mappings f and T on X are continuous, T is a monotone *f*-nondecreasing,  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \alpha \left( \frac{d(fx,Tx)d(fy,Ty)}{d(fx,fy)} \right) + \gamma [d(fx,Tx) + d(fy,Ty)]$$

for all x, y in X with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  are comparable and for some  $\alpha, \gamma \in [0,1)$  with  $0 \le \alpha + 2\gamma < 1$ .

If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \leq T(x_0)$  and the mapping T and f are compatible, then T and f have a coincidence point in X.

Proof. Set  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\delta = 0$  in Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a complete partially ordered metric space. Suppose that the self-mappings f and T on X are continuous, T is a monotone *f*-nondecreasing,  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \beta[d(fx,fy)] + \gamma[d(fx,Tx) + d(fy,Ty)]$$

for all x, y in X with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  are comparable and for some  $\beta, \gamma \in [0,1)$  with  $0 \le 2\gamma + \beta < 1$ .

If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \leq T(x_0)$  and the mapping T and f are compatible, then T and f have a coincidence point in X.

Proof. The proof can be obtain by setting  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\delta = 0$  in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a complete partially ordered metric space. Suppose that *f* and T are self-mappings on X, T is a monotone *f* - nondecreasing,  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and satisfying

for all x, y in X with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  are comparable, where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$  with  $0 \le \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$ . If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \le T(x_0)$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that  $x_n \to x$ , then  $x_n \le x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If f(X) is a complete subset of X, then T and f have a coincidence point in X. Further, if T and f are weakly compatible, then T and f have a common fixed point in X. moreover, the set of common fixed points of T and f is well ordered if and only if T and f have one and only one common fixed point in X.

Proof. Suppose f(X) is a complete subset of X. As we know from the proof of Theorem 1, the sequence  $\{Tx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence  $\{fx_n\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence in (f(X), d) as  $fx_{n+1} = Tx_n$  and  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ . Since f(X) is complete then there exists some  $fu \in f(X)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} T(\mathbf{x}_n) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f(\mathbf{x}_n) = fu$$

Also note that the sequence  $\{Tx_n\}$  and  $\{fx_n\}$  are nondecreasing and from hypotheses, we have  $T(x_n) \leq f(u)$  and  $f(x_n) \leq f(u)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But *T* is a monotone *f*-nondecreasing then, we get  $T(x_n) \leq T(u)$  for all *n*. Letting  $n \to +\infty$ , we obtain that  $f(u) \leq T(u)$ .

Suppose that  $f(u) \prec T(u)$  then define a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  by  $u_0 = u$  and  $fu_{n+1} = Tu_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An argument similar to that in the proof of theorem 1 yields that  $\{fu_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence and

 $\lim_{n \to +\infty} f(u_n) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} T(u_n) = f(v) \text{ for some } v \in X. \text{ So from hypotheses, it is clear that sup } f(u_n) \leq f(v) \text{ and } \sup T(u_n) \leq f(v), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Notice that}$ 

$$f(x_n) \leq f(\mathbf{u}) \leq f(u_1) \leq \dots \dots \leq f(u_n) \leq \dots \dots \leq f(v).$$

**Case:1** Suppose if there exists some  $n_0 \ge 1$ . such that  $f(x_{n_0}) = f(u_{n_0})$  then, we have

$$f(x_{n_0}) = f(u) = f(u_{n_0}) = f(u_1) = T(u).$$

Hence, u is a coincidence point of T and f in X.

**Case:2** suppose that  $f(x_{n_0}) \neq f(u_{n_0})$  for all *n* then, from (2.2), we have

$$d(fx_{n+1}, fu_{n+1}) = d(Tx_n, Tu_n)$$

$$\leq \alpha \left( \frac{d(fx_n, Tx_n)d(fu_n, Tu_n)}{d(fx_n, fu_n)} \right)$$

$$+ \beta [d(fx_n, fu_n)] + \gamma [d(fx_n, Tx_n) + d(fu_n, Tu_n)]$$

$$+ \delta [d(fx_n, Tu_n) + d(fu_n, Tx_n)]$$

Taking limit as  $n \to +\infty$  on both sides of the above inequality, we get

$$d(fu, fv) \le \beta[d(fu, fv)] + \delta[d(fu, fv) + d(fv, fu)]$$
$$= (\beta + 2\delta) d(fu, fv). \text{ since } (\beta + 2\delta) < 1.$$

Thus we have

$$f(u) = f(v) = f(u_1) = T(u).$$

Hence, we conclude that u is a coincidence point of T and f in X.

Now, suppose that T and f are weakly compatible. Let  $\omega$  be a coincidence point then,

$$T(\omega) = T(f(z)) = f(T(z)) = f(\omega)$$
, since  $\omega = T(z) = f(z)$ , for some  $z \in X$ .

Now by contraction condition, we have

$$d(T(z), T(\omega)) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(fz, Tz)d(fw, Tw)}{d(fz, fw)}\right) + \beta[d(fz, fw)] + \gamma[d(fz, Tz) + d(fw, Tw)] + \delta[d(fz, Tw) + d(fw, Tz)] \leq (\beta + 2\delta) d(T(z), T(\omega))$$

as  $(\beta + 2\delta) < 1$ , then  $d(T(z), T(\omega)) = 0$ . Therefore,  $T(z) = T(\omega) = f(\omega) = \omega$ . Hence,  $\omega$  is a common fixed point of *T* and *f* in X.

Now suppose that the set of common fixed points of T and f is well ordered, we have to show that the common fixed point of T and f is unique. Let u and v be two common fixed points of T and f such that  $u \neq v$  then from (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u,v) &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{d(fu,Tu)d(fv,Tv)}{d(fu,fv)} \right) + \beta [d(fu,fv)] + \gamma [d(fu,Tu) + d(fv,Tv)] \\ &+ \delta [d(fu,Tv) + d(fv,Tu)] \\ &\leq (\beta + 2\delta) \ d(u,v) \\ &< d(u,v), \text{ since } (\beta + 2\delta) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. Thus, u = v. Conversely, suppose *T* and *f* have only one common fixed point then the set of common fixed points of *T* and *f* being a singleton is well ordered. This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a complete partially ordered metric space. Suppose that f and T are self-mappings on X, T is a monotone f- nondecreasing,  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and satisfying

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \alpha \left( \frac{d(fx,Tx)d(fy,Ty)}{d(fx,fy)} \right) + \gamma [d(fx,Tx) + d(fy,Ty)]$$

for all x, y in X with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  are comparable, where  $\alpha, \gamma \in [0, 1)$  with  $0 \le \alpha + 2\gamma < 1$ . If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \le T(x_0)$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that  $x_n \to x$ , then  $x_n \le x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If f(X) is a complete subset of X, then T and f have a coincidence point in X. Further, if T and f are weakly compatible, then T and f have a common fixed point in X. Moreover, the set of common fixed points of T and f is well ordered if and only if T and f have one and only one common fixed point in X.

Proof. Set  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\delta = 0$  in Theorem 2.

**Corollary 4.** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a complete partially ordered metric space. Suppose that the self-mappings f and T on X, T is a monotone *f*-nondecreasing,  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and satisfying

 $d(Tx,Ty) \le \beta[d(fx,fy)] + \gamma[d(fx,Tx) + d(fy,Ty)]$ 

for all x, y in X with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  are comparable, where  $\beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$  with  $0 \leq 2\gamma + \beta < 1$ . If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \leq T(x_0)$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that  $x_n \to x$ , then  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If f(X) is a complete subset of X, then T and f have a coincidence point in X. Further, if T and f are weakly compatible, then T and f have a common fixed point in X. Moreover, the set of common fixed points of T and f is well ordered if and only if T and f have one and only one common fixed point in X.

Proof. set  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\delta = 0$  in Theorem 2.

**Conclusion:** In this paper, we prove a coincidence point and common fixed point results for compatible mappings in complete partially ordered metric space. Our results are generalizes and improve the results of Rao et al.[14] and chandok et al.[15].

# References

- S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations untegrales, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 133–181.
- B. K. Dass and S. Gupta, An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (1975), no. 12, 1455–1458.
- [3] S.K. Chetterjee, Fixed point theorems, C.R. Acad. Bulgara Sci. 25 (1972), 727–730.
- [4] M. Edelstein, On fixed points and periodic points under contraction mappings, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 74–79.
- [5] D.S. Jaggi, Some unique fixed point theorems, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 8, (1977) 223–230.
- [6] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points. II, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), 405–408.
- [7] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily and D. O'Regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal. 87 (2008), no. 1, 109–116.
- [8] M. Arshad, A. Azam and P. Vetro, Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009, Art. ID 493965, 11 pp.
- [9] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theory in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. **65** (2006), 1379–1393.
- [10] J. Harjani, B. L'opez and K. Sadarangani, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type on a partially ordered metric space, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2010, Art. ID 190701, 8 pp.
- [11] S. Hong, Fixed points of multivalued operators in ordered metric spaces with applications, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), no. 11, 3929–3942.
- [12] J. J. Nieto, R. R. Lo´pez: Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation, Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 23(12), 2205–2212 (2007).
- [13] S. Chandok, Some common fixed point results for generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Anal. Opt. **4** (2013), 45–52.
- [14] N. Seshagiri Rao, K. Kalyani and Kejal Khatri, Contractive mapping theorems in Partially ordered metric spaces, CUBO, A Mathematical Journal. Vol.22(2020), N° 02, (203–214).
- [15] S. Chandok, T. D. Narang and M. A. Taoudi, Fixed Point Theorem for Generalized Contractions Satisfying Rational Type Expressions in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces, Gulf Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 2, Issue 4 (2014), 87-93.
- [16] S. Chandok, Some common fixed point results for rational type contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Math. Bohem. 138 (2013), no. 4, 407–413.
- [17] X. Zhang, Fixed point theorems of multivalued monotone mappings in ordered metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), no. 3, 235–240.