

An Inventory Model having Polynomial Demand with Time Dependent Holding Cost

Shelly¹ and Dr. Rajender Kumar (Supervisor)²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Indira Gandhi University,
Meerpur (Rewari), 122502, (Haryana), India,
shelly.math.rs@igu.ac.in

²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Indira Gandhi University,
Meerpur (Rewari), 122502, (Haryana), India

Abstract

In the current work, an inventory model for deteriorates goods is developed in which shortages are fully reserved. Polynomial Demand is taken here with holding cost as a quadratic function of time and deterioration rate is taken as constant.

Keywords: -Inventory, Demand, Deterioration, Cost, Shortage.

1 Introduction

Inventory Management has gained popularity in the last decades because of its priority in minimization of costs and maximization of profit in various kinds of businesses and organizations. The priority of inventory management is mainly affected by the deterioration of items. As the deterioration of items may result in loss of companies. Thus, the need of developing the models for inventory management keeping in view the effect of deterioration occurs. From the last few decades, many researchers have developed such kinds of models. Some of the work is listed below.

Bhunia and Maiti (1997) [2] created some realistic models in which rate of production depends on on-hand inventory. Wu, J.W. et al., (1999) [16] derived the EOQ Model with Weibull rate, assuming ramp type demand. Ouyang et.al., (2005) [7] considered exponential declining demand and partial backlogging in his model. Shah N.H. (2010),[8] developed policy of order for items that deteriorates with time when demand is exponentially decreasing. Mishra, V.K. et al., [6] developed model with time dependent demand and partial backlogging. Sharma (2013) et al., [9] developed model by taking Weibull Distributed Deterioration. Kumar, V., et al., [4] created inventory model by taking demand that depends on selling price and under trade credit holding cost is taken as time dependent. Ibe et al., (2016) [3] developed a Model that follows constant deterioration with time and time varying holding cost. Maragatham (2017) [5] et. al., presented Model for Deteriorating Items in single ware house and consider lead time as constant, Shortages are allowed in lead time and completely backlogged. Aliyu (2020) et al., [1], considered generalised exponential decreasing demand in his model.

Shelly and Kumar, R., (2021) [10] [11] [12] developed models by taking polynomial demand with deterioration as time dependent in one model & constant deterioration in other model and developed one model with time dependent demand and deterioration. Soni and Kumar,

R., (2021) [13] [14] [15] developed models by taking bi-quadratic polynomial demand with static rate of deterioration in one model & variable rate of deterioration in other model and one model with demand as time dependent with static rate of deterioration. The working of the current work is based on the above cited works and specially on paper by **Shelly and Kumar, R.** [11] by using holding cost as a quadratic function of time.

2 Assumptions and Notations

Notations: -

The following are the notations used here: -

1. $h(t)$ = Cost per unit of holding inventory per unit time i.e., Holding Cost
2. C_2 = Shortage cost per unit per unit time.
3. C_3 = Deterioration cost.
4. T = Each cycle length.
5. $I(t)$ = Inventory at any time t .
6. $C(t)$ = Average total cost.
7. $D(t)$ = Demand Rate Function.
8. $\theta(t)$ = Deterioration Rate Function.
9. S = Initial Inventory

Assumptions: -

The following are the assumptions used here: -

1. Demand Rate $D(t)$ is assumed as polynomial function of time, given by $D(t) = t + 2t^2 + 3t^3 + \dots + nt^n$.
2. The deterioration rate function, $\theta(t)$ is assumed in the form $\theta(t) = \theta_0$.
3. Holding Cost is taken as $h(t) = h + at + bt^2$, where $h > 0$, $a > 0$, $b > 0$.
4. Replenishment size is constant and the replenishment rate is infinite.
5. The Lead time is zero.
6. Shortages are considered and totally reserved.
7. During the period T , neither is replacement nor repair of deteriorated units.

3 Analysis of Model

Let Inventory level at any time t be $I(t)$. Inventory level slowly decreases during time interval $(0, t_1)$, $t_1 < T$ and becomes exactly zero at $t = t_1$. Shortages takes place in the interval $(0, t_1)$, which are totally reserved. Differential equations which govern this inventory system during the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$ using demand and deterioration rate are

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} + \theta_0 I(t) = -(t + 2t^2 + 3t^3 + \dots + nt^n) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = -(t + 2t^2 + 3t^3 + \dots + nt^n) \quad (2)$$

Solution of differential equation (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} I(t)e^{\theta_0 t} &= -\int (t + 2t^2 + 3t^3 + \dots + nt^n)e^{\theta_0 t} dt + C \\ &= -\int (t + 2t^2 + 3t^3 + \dots + nt^n)(1 + \theta_0 t) dt + C \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\int [(t + 2t^2 + 3t^3 + \dots + nt^n) + \theta_0(t^2 + 2t^3 + 3t^4 + \dots + nt^{n+1})]dt + C \\
 &= -\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{2}{3}t^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t^{n+1}\right) + \theta_0\left(\frac{1}{3}t^3 + \frac{1}{2}t^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t^{n+2}\right)\right] + C
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting $t = 0$, $I(0) = C$. But $I(0) = S$. Therefore $C = S$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(t)e^{\theta_0 t} = S - \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{2}{3}t^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t^{n+1} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t^3 + \frac{1}{2}t^4 + \dots \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. + \frac{n}{n+2}t^{n+2} \right) \right]; \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
 \end{aligned}$$

(3)

Again from (3), $I(t_1) = 0$. So

$$0 = S - \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}t_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{n+1} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2} \right) \right]$$

Thus

$$S = \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}t_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{n+1} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2} \right) \right] \quad (4)$$

Putting the value of S in (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(t)e^{\theta_0 t} = \frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t^2) + \frac{2}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}(t_1^{n+1} - t^{n+1}) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) \right. \\
 \left. + \frac{1}{2}(t_1^4 - t^4) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}(t_1^{n+2} - t^{n+2}) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t^2) + \frac{2}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}(t_1^{n+1} - t^{n+1}) \\
 + \theta_0 \left[\frac{1}{6}(t^3 - 3t_1^2 t + 2t_1^3) + \frac{1}{6}(t^4 - 4t_1^3 t + 3t_1^4) + \dots \right. \\
 \left. + \frac{n}{(n+1)(n+2)}(t^{n+2} - (n+2)t_1^{n+1} t + (n+1)t_1^{n+2}) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(t) = \sum_1^n \left[\frac{m}{m+1}(t_1^{m+1} - t^{m+1}) + \theta_0 \frac{m}{(m+1)(m+2)} [t^{m+2} - (m+2)t_1^{(m+1)} t \right. \\
 \left. + (m+1)t_1^{m+2}] \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution of differential equation (2) is

$$I(t) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{2}{3}t^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t^{n+1}\right) + B \quad (6)$$

Since $I(t_1) = 0$, we have

$$0 = -\left(\frac{1}{2}t_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{n+1}\right) + B$$

This implies

$$B = \frac{1}{2}t_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{n+1}$$

Hence

$$I(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t^2) + \frac{2}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}(t_1^{n+1} - t^{n+1}); t_1 \leq t \leq T \quad (7)$$

Thus, the entire amount of deteriorated units = $I(0)$ – stock loss due to demand

$$\begin{aligned} &= S - \int_0^{t_1} (t + 2t^2 + \dots + nt^n) dt \\ &= S - \left(\frac{1}{2}t_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}t_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{n+1}\right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2}\right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2}t_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}t_1^3 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{n+1}\right) \\ &= \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Inventory Holding Cost is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^{t_1} h(t)I(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_1} (h + at + bt^2)I(t) dt \\ &= h \int_0^{t_1} I(t) dt + a \int_0^{t_1} t I(t) dt + b \int_0^{t_1} t^2 I(t) dt \\ &= h \int_0^{t_1} \left[\frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t^2) + \frac{2}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}(t_1^{n+1} - t^{n+1}) \right] dt \\ &\quad + h\theta_0 \int_0^{t_1} \left[\frac{1}{6}(t^3 - 3t_1^2t + 2t_1^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{(n+1)(n+2)}(t^{n+2} - (n+2)t_1^{n+1}t + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (n+1)t_1^{n+2}) \right] dt \\ &\quad + a \int_0^{t_1} t \left[\frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t^2) + \frac{2}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}(t_1^{n+1} - t^{n+1}) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

An Inventory Model having Polynomial Demand with Time Dependent Holding Cost

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + a\theta_0 \int_0^{t_1} t \left[\frac{1}{6}(t^3 - 3t_1^2 t + 2t_1^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{(n+1)(n+2)}(t^{n+2} - (n+2)t_1^{n+1} t + \right. \\
 & \left. (n+1)t_1^{n+2} \right] dt \\
 & + b \int_0^{t_1} t^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t^2) + \frac{2}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}(t_1^{n+1} - t^{n+1}) \right] dt \\
 & + b\theta_0 \int_0^{t_1} t^2 \left[\frac{1}{6}(t^3 - 3t_1^2 t + 2t_1^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{(n+1)(n+2)}(t^{n+2} - (n+2)t_1^{n+1} t + \right. \\
 & \left. (n+1)t_1^{n+2} \right] dt \\
 & = h \left[\left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{8}t_1^4 + \frac{1}{5}t_1^5 + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)}t_1^{n+3} \right) \right] \\
 & + a \left[\left(\frac{1}{8}t_1^4 + \frac{1}{5}t_1^5 + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)}t_1^{n+3} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{30}t_1^5 + \frac{1}{18}t_1^6 + \dots + \frac{n}{6(n+4)}t_1^{n+4} \right) \right] \\
 & + b \left[\left(\frac{1}{15}t_1^5 + \frac{1}{9}t_1^6 + \dots + \frac{n}{3(n+4)}t_1^{n+4} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{72}t_1^6 + \frac{1}{42}t_1^7 + \dots + \frac{n}{12(n+5)}t_1^{n+5} \right) \right] \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Deterioration Cost = C_3 * the entire amount of deteriorated units

$$= C_3 \left[\theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2} \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

Shortage units Quantity = $\int_{t_1}^T -I(t)dt$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = - \int_{t_1}^T \left[\frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t^2) + \frac{2}{3}(t_1^3 - t^3) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}(t_1^{n+1} - t^{n+1}) \right] dt \\
 & = T \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}T^2 - t_1^2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4}T^3 - t_1^3 \right) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n+2}T^{n+1} - t_1^{n+1} \right) \right] + \left[\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \right. \\
 & \left. \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2} \right] \quad (11)
 \end{aligned}$$

Shortage Cost = C_2 * shortage units quantity

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = C_2 T \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}T^2 - t_1^2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4}T^3 - t_1^3 \right) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n+2}T^{n+1} - t_1^{n+1} \right) \right] + \\
 & C_2 \left[\frac{1}{3}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{n+2} \right] \quad (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

The Total Cost per unit time

= Inventory Holding Cost + Deterioration Cost + Shortage Cost

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= h \left[\left(\frac{1}{3} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2} t_1^{n+2} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{8} t_1^4 + \frac{1}{5} t_1^5 + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)} t_1^{n+3} \right) \right] \\
 &+ a \left[\left(\frac{1}{8} t_1^4 + \frac{1}{5} t_1^5 + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)} t_1^{n+3} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{30} t_1^5 + \frac{1}{18} t_1^6 + \dots + \frac{n}{6(n+4)} t_1^{n+4} \right) \right] \\
 &+ b \left[\left(\frac{1}{15} t_1^5 + \frac{1}{9} t_1^6 + \dots + \frac{n}{3(n+4)} t_1^{n+4} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{72} t_1^6 + \frac{1}{42} t_1^7 + \dots + \frac{n}{12(n+5)} t_1^{n+5} \right) \right] \\
 &+ C_3 \left[\theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2} t_1^{n+2} \right) \right] \\
 &\quad + C_2 T \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} T^2 - t_1^2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4} T^3 - t_1^3 \right) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} T^{n+1} - t_1^{n+1} \right) \right] + \\
 &\quad C_2 \left[\frac{1}{3} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2} t_1^{n+2} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

The Average Total Cost per unit time,

$$C(t_1) = \frac{1}{T} [\text{Total Cost per unit time}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(t_1) &= \frac{h}{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{3} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2} t_1^{n+2} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{8} t_1^4 + \frac{1}{5} t_1^5 + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)} t_1^{n+3} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{a}{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{8} t_1^4 + \frac{1}{5} t_1^5 + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)} t_1^{n+3} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{30} t_1^5 + \frac{1}{18} t_1^6 + \dots + \frac{n}{6(n+4)} t_1^{n+4} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{b}{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{15} t_1^5 + \frac{1}{9} t_1^6 + \dots + \frac{n}{3(n+4)} t_1^{n+4} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{72} t_1^6 + \frac{1}{42} t_1^7 + \dots + \frac{n}{12(n+5)} t_1^{n+5} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{C_3}{T} \left[\theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2} t_1^{n+2} \right) \right] + \frac{C_2}{T} \left[\frac{1}{3} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} t_1^4 + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2} t_1^{n+2} \right] \\
 &\quad + C_2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} T^2 - t_1^2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4} T^3 - t_1^3 \right) + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} T^{n+1} - t_1^{n+1} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

For minimum average total cost, the necessary and sufficient conditions are $\frac{dC(t_1)}{dt_1} =$

0 and $\frac{d^2C(t_1)}{dt_1^2} > 0$.

Now $\frac{dC(t_1)}{dt_1} = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (t_1 + 2t_1^2 + 3t_1^3 + \dots + nt_1^n) \left[\frac{b\theta_0}{2T} t_1^4 + \left(\frac{a\theta_0}{6T} + \frac{b}{3T} \right) t_1^3 + \left(\frac{h\theta_0}{2T} + \frac{a}{2T} \right) t_1^2 + \frac{(h + C_2 + C_3\theta_0)}{T} t_1 \right. \\
 \left. - C_2 \right] = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Which further implies

$$\frac{b\theta_0}{2T} t_1^4 + \left(\frac{a\theta_0}{6T} + \frac{b}{3T} \right) t_1^3 + \left(\frac{h\theta_0}{2T} + \frac{a}{2T} \right) t_1^2 + \frac{(h + C_2 + C_3\theta_0)}{T} t_1 - C_2 = 0 \quad (13)$$

Since (13) is a bi-quadratic equation in t_1 having one change in sign so it has atmost one positive root by using Descarte's rule of signs. Let t_1^* be the positive root of

(13).Then $\frac{d^2C(t_1)}{dt_1^2} > 0$ at $t_1 = t_1^*$. So optimum value of t_1 is t_1^* . Substituting it in (4), the optimized value of S is

$$S^* = \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}t_1^{*2} + \frac{2}{3}t_1^{*3} + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1}t_1^{*(n+1)} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^{*3} + \frac{1}{2}t_1^{*4} + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{*(n+2)} \right) \right] \tag{14}$$

Minimum value of C(t_1) is

$$\begin{aligned} C(t_1^*) = & \frac{h}{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^{*3} + \frac{1}{2}t_1^{*4} + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{*(n+2)} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{8}t_1^{*4} + \frac{1}{5}t_1^{*5} + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)}t_1^{*(n+3)} \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{a}{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{8}t_1^{*4} + \frac{1}{5}t_1^{*5} + \dots + \frac{n}{2(n+3)}t_1^{*(n+3)} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{30}t_1^{*5} + \frac{1}{18}t_1^{*6} + \dots + \frac{n}{6(n+4)}t_1^{*(n+4)} \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{b}{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{15}t_1^{*5} + \frac{1}{9}t_1^{*6} + \dots + \frac{n}{3(n+4)}t_1^{*(n+4)} \right) + \theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{72}t_1^{*6} + \frac{1}{42}t_1^{*7} + \dots + \frac{n}{12(n+5)}t_1^{*(n+5)} \right) \right] + \\ & \frac{C_3}{T} \left[\theta_0 \left(\frac{1}{3}t_1^{*3} + \frac{1}{2}t_1^{*4} + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{*(n+2)} \right) \right] + C_2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}T^2 - t_1^{*2} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4}T^3 - t_1^{*3} \right) + \dots + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n+2}T^{n+1} - t_1^{*(n+1)} \right) \right] + \frac{C_2}{T} \left[\frac{1}{3}t_1^{*3} + \frac{1}{2}t_1^{*4} + \dots + \frac{n}{n+2}t_1^{*(n+2)} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Thus equation (15) gives optimal value of total average cost per unit time. These equations can be furthersolved for different values of variables used here, using software like MATLAB and/or Mathematica.

4 Conclusion

In this study, a model of inventory management is generated for deteriorating goods by taking Polynomial demand and deterioration is taken as time-independent i.e., constant deterioration and holding cost is taken as quadratic function of time, and then optimized value of cost is calculated.

References

- [1] **Aliyu, I. and Sani,B.**, An Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with a Generalised Exponential In-creasing Demand, Constant Holding Cost and Constant Deterioration Rate, Vol. 14, no.15, pp.725-736,2020.
- [2] **Bhunja,A.K. and Maiti,M.**, Deterministic Inventory Models for variable production, Journal of the Operational Research Society (1997) 48, 221-224.
- [3] **Ibe,C.B. and Ogbeide, D.O.**, An Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Exponential Increasing Demand and Time Varying Holding Cost under Partial

- Backlogging, Centrepoint Journal (Science Edition), Volume 22, No.2, pages 69-75,2016.
- [4] **Kumar,V., Pathak,G., and Gupta,C.B.**, A Deterministic Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Selling Price Dependent Demand and Parabolic Time Varying Holding Cost under Trade Credit, International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (IJSCE), ISSN: 2231-2307, Volume-3, Issue-4, September 2013.
- [5] **Maragatham,M. and Palani,R.**, An Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Lead Time price Dependent Demand and Shortages, ISSN 0973-6107 Volume 10, Number 6(2017) pp. 1839-1847.
- [6] **Mishra, V.K. and Singh, L.S.**, Deteriorating Inventory Model with Time Dependent Demand and Partial Backlogging, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 72, 3611-3619.
- [7] **Ouyang,L.Y., Wu,K.S. and Cheng,M.C.**, An Inventory Model for Deteriorating items with Exponential Declining Demand and Partial Backlogging, Yugoslav Journal of operations research,15(2005), Number 2, pp.277-288.
- [8] **Shah,N.H. and Mohmmadraiyan,M.**, An Order-Level Lot-Size Model for Deteriorating items for two storage facilities when demand is Exponentially Declining, Revista Investigacion Operacional, Vol.31, No.3, pp.193-199,2010.
- [9] **Sharma,V. and Chaudhary,R.R.**, An Inventory Model for Deteriorating items with Weibull deterioration with time dependent demand and shortages, Research Journal of Management Sciences, Vol.2(3), pp.1-4, March 2013.
- [10] **Shelly and Kumar, R.**, Inventory Model with Demand as a Polynomial Function of Time and Time Dependent Deterioration, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Volume 10 Issue 9, September 2021.
- [11] **Shelly and Kumar, R.**, Inventory Model with Demand as a Polynomial Function of Time and Constant Deterioration, International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, Volume 6, Issue 9, September 2021.
- [12] **Shelly and Kumar, R.**, Inventory Model with General Demand and Deterioration, Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI), Volume 12, Issue 9, August 2021: 5970-5975.
- [13] **Soni, P. and Kumar, R.**, A Deterministic Inventory Model with Demand as a Biquadratic Polynomial Function of Time for Items with Static rate of Deterioration, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Volume 10 Issue 9, September 2021.
- [14] **Soni, P. and Kumar, R.**, A Deterministic Inventory Model with Demand as a Function of Time for Items with a Static rate of Deterioration, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Volume 10 Issue 10, October 2021.
- [15] **Soni, P. and Kumar, R.**, A Deterministic Inventory Model with Demand as a Biquadratic Polynomial Function of Time with Variable rates of Deterioration, Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI), Volume 12, Issue 9, August 2021: 5692-5697.
- [16] **Wu,J.W., Lin,C., Tan,B. and Lee,W.C.**, An EOQ Inventory Model with ramp type demand rate for items with Weibull deterioration, International Journal of Information and Management Sciences, Vol.10, No.3, pp. 41-51, 1999.