Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI)
Volume 11, Issue 4, November 2020: 2138-2144

Implementation of Critical Analysis of Homological Results in Commutative Algebra

Dr. Syed Shahnawaz Ali [1], Nayan Biswas [2]
[1] Research Guide, Dept. of Mathematics, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Sehore Bhopal-Indore Road, Madhya Pradesh, India.
[2] Research Scholar, Dept. of Mathematics, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Sehore Bhopal-Indore Road, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Abstract

When it was first made, algebraic geometry was mostly about studying spaces that can be described by equations over real and complex numbers. When reducing these equations modulo a prime number to solve specific problems, negative characteristic questions will always come up. The characteristic of the ring R is the prime number p if and only if the equation pr = 0 is true for every r in the ring R. The most important benefit of this is that we will be able to use the Frobenius map, which is a type of ring homomorphism that moves an element from r to rp. The Frobenius map is an important part of almost all theories about the positive characteristic. Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities, also called limits of regular multiplicities over repetitions of the Frobenius map, are one of the main topics of research in the theory of positive characteristics. The most important part of Paul Monsky's argument is whether or not Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities can be thought of as logical.

Keywords: critical analysis, commutative algebra, Algebraic Geometry.

I. Introduction

In traditional algebraic geometry, which is defined as taking place over the domain of complex numbers, transcendental methods can be used. Because of this, a rational function's power series expansion around a point is looked at as if it were an analytic function (with one or more complicated variables). In the field of abstract algebraic geometry, the best that can be done is to guess about the formal power series that are a match. Even though it isn't quite as strong as the holomorphic example, this could still be a very useful resource. The process of turning polynomials into formal power series is an example of what is called "completion." Another important example of completeness can be found in number theory, specifically in the way that p-adic numbers are made. To put it another way, if p is a prime number in Z, we can try to solve congruences modulo pn for increasing values of n in any of the quotient rings Zfpnz. In a way similar to how the terms of a Taylor expansion give successive approximations, the p-adic numbers provide a useful upper limit for Zfpnz as n-plus oo. Their first parts are just as simple as the first parts of other power series. Despite this, p-adic numbers are more complicated than regular power series in one important way (in, say, one variable x). The degree-n polynomials can be put inside the power series, but the group Zfpnz can't be put inside Z. In contrast to the degree-n polynomials, this is not true. Even though the idea is interesting, the power series representation of a p-adic number, which is written as 2: anpn (0:!0; a p), does not work very well when ring operations are done on it. In this chapter, we'll give an overview of the "adic" completion process, which involves turning the prime number p into a general ideal. This is called a "global" process. The most natural way to explain this is through topological language. However, the reader should be careful when using intuitions based on the topology of the real numbers. Instead, he should think about the power series topology, which says that a power series is only considered to be small if it only has high-order terms. If you look at this issue through the lens of the p-adic topology on Z, which says that an integer is "small" if it can be divided by a big power of p, you can also see it in a different way. In a way similar to localization, completion makes things easier by drawing attention to a single place (or prime). It is a simplification, but even more so than localization, which is saying something. In algebraic geometry, for example, the formal power series in n variables will always complete the local ring of any non-singular point on a variable of dimension n. No matter if the point is singular or not, this is still true. On the other hand, the local rings of two such places can't be the same shape unless the varieties they are on are birationally equivalent (this means that the fields of fractions of the two local rings are isomorphic). Through localization, both the exactness and the Noetherian properties are kept, which are both very important. The same is true for completeness, as long as we only look at modules that can be built in a finite number of steps. However, the proofs are much harder and take up most of this chapter. The theorem of Krull is another important discovery. It tells us which part of a ring is "killed" when the cycle comes to an end. Krull's Theorem can be used to figure out the shape of a function in a way that is similar to how the coefficients of a Taylor expansion of an analytical function can be used to figure out the shape of the function. For a Noetherian local ring in which m is the maximal ideal, the best way to explain the situation is with the following example: n mn = 0. Because the famous "Artin-Rees Lemma" is a direct cause of Krull's Theorem and the exactness of completion, we give it a big role in our investigation. This is because both of these results are easy to figure out. We will need to build graded rings so that we can do an accurate analysis of completions. The best example of a graded ring is the group of polynomials that is written as k[xl>..., Xn]. Setting the degree of each variable to 1 gives the normal grade for this ring. In the same way that ungraded rings are the building blocks of affine geometry, graded rings are the building blocks of projective algebraic geometry. Because of this, they play a very important role in geometry. We will now show that the basic structure of the associated graded ring Ga(A) of an ideal an of A has a clear geometrical meaning. Ga(A) is the set of all lines through P that touch V at P, and A is the local ring of P on V. The projective tangent cone at a point P on a variety V with maximal ideal an is Ga(A), where A is the local ring of P on V and Ga(A) is the set of all tangent lines through P. This geometric picture should help explain the importance of Ga(A) in light of the features of V near P and, more specifically, research into whether or not A is complete. This picture should be helpful because it shows how Ga(A) is related to the features of V near P.

2. Related work

During the subsequent quarter of a century, Poincaré's ideas underwent development and were improved upon. For example, O. Veblen (1880-1960) and J. W. Alexander proved the Duality Theorem for the mod 2 Betti numbers in their paper [VA] from 1913. This theorem is valid even for manifolds that are not oriented in any particular direction (1888–1971). Alexander demonstrated in

1915 that the Betti numbers and torsion coefficients of a manifold are topologically invariant even if they are different for each manifold. the first person to compute Betti numbers and torsion coefficients for a product of manifolds. His findings, which are generally referred to as the K unneth Formulas by the general public, were published after his death. Topologists researched homology using incidence matrices up until the middle of the twentieth century, which enabled them to determine Betti numbers and torsion coefficients. This method was used until the middle of the century. The field underwent a sea change after Emmy Noether (1882–1935) demonstrated in her 14-line report and in her lectures in Göttingen that homology was an abelian group and was not simply a collection of Betti numbers and torsion coefficients. This was a pivotal moment in the history of the subject. H. Hopf (1894-1971), a young man who had recently returned from a yearlong sojourn in Gottingen, where he met P. Alexandroff, quickly recognised the significance of this perspective, and the news spread rapidly. In his paper from 1929, L. Mayer (1887-1948) stated that he had been motivated by the new viewpoint to construct the purely algebraic ideas of chain complex, its subgroup of cycles, and the homology groups of a complex. The discussion eventually moved into more algebraic concepts as time went on. Between the years 1925 and 1935, there was a concerted attempt made to generalise the fundamental theorems of algebraic topology outside the spaces that Poincar'e had previously investigated. As a direct consequence of this, a number of unique homology theories came into being. During this decade, a number of people who are considered to be pioneers in the field of homology theory were active. These individuals include Alexander and Vietoris (1891-!). Alexander was a pioneer in the field of homology theory. In addition, Steenrod's (1910-1989) homology theory for compact metric spaces, which was developed in 1940, is included in this school of thinking because it was developed at the same time. Following the provision of an ad hoc formula for the construction of a chain complex in response to topological data, the authors of each homology theory went on to define their respective homology groups in terms of the chain complex's homology. They provided evidence that demonstrated that this result is valid regardless of the settings selected, and that it produces the conventional Betti numbers for compact manifolds. Homology with coefficients in a compact topological group, which was widespread up until the early 1950s but is now considered completely superfluous, is a feature that is shared by all of the recipes. This feature was once very popular. Because the decade as a whole had such a minimal impact on the development of homological algebra, we are going to skip over it. G. de Rham (1903-1990) produced a theory of a smooth manifold that is referred to as the "de Rham homology" in his thesis from 1931. This theory is noteworthy and needs attention. Elie Cartan (1869-1951) published a series of articles that established the cochain complex of exterior differential forms on a smooth manifold M. Cartan conjectured that the Betti number bi of M is the maximum number of closed i-forms j for which there is no nonzero linear combination Pjj that is exact. These articles can be found . In 1929, when de Rham saw Cartan's statement he quickly understood that a triangulation on M in conjunction with the bilinear map would make it possible for him to establish Cartan's conjecture. For the purpose of the triangulation, the letter C acts as both a closed i-form and an i-cycle. If is a precise shape and C is a boundary, then Stokes' formula demonstrates that R C = 0; otherwise, it does not. In contrast, De Rham demonstrated that in the event that we fix, then R C = 0 only in the event that is accurate, and in the event that we fix C, then R C = 0 only in the event that C is a boundary. Cartan's conjecture is validated by De Rham's theorem since it demonstrates that there is a nondegenerate pairing between the vector spaces Hi(M; R) and Hi dR(M) for the quotient of all closed forms by the precise forms (M). We now refer to the ith cohomology of Cartan's complex as the "de Rham cohomology" of M. Hi dR(M) is just the ith cohomology of M. However, de Rham was constrained to state his conclusions in terms of homology because cohomology had not been invented in 1931, and no one seems to have noticed this fact until Cartan and Chevalley in the 1940s. Cartan and Chevalley were the first people to bring this to anyone's attention. After many years had passed, the de Rham cohomology of Lie groups was still an extremely important part of the cohomology of Lie algebras.

3. Proposed methodology

In commutative algebra, two of the most important technical tools are the ability to make rings out of fractions and the localization method that goes with it. The ability to multiply fractions may be even more important. The importance of these ideas should be clear, and they are the same as focusing on an open set or getting close to a point in algebraic and geometric language. This chapter explains what fractions are and what some of their most important parts are. The process of making the rational field Q from the ring of integers Z and putting Z inside Q is easy to generalise to any integral domain A, which gives you the field of fractions of A. To finish the construction, you must first define an equivalence relation between any ordered pairs (a, s) in which a, seA, and s all equal 0. Because showing that the relationship is transitive requires knowing that A does not have a zero-divisor =? 0, this proof will only work if A is an integral domain. Another word for this need is "cancellation." On the other hand, we could sum it up as follows: As an example of a free ring, take A. If A is a semigroup, then S is a subsemigroup of A's multiplicative semigroup, and if S is a subset of A, then 1 e S is closed under multiplication. If S is a subset of A and A is a semigroup, then S is a subsemigroup of the multiplicative semigroup of A. Here's how we can put the = connection on A x S into a category:

This link seems to go both ways and be the same on both ends. To prove that the relationship is transitive, we'll assume that (a, s) = (b, t) and (b, t, a, s) (c, u). Then, since (at - bs)v = 0 and (bu - ct)w = 0, it follows that v, winS, does exist. When we take the letter b out of each of these formulas, we get that (au - cs)tvw equals 0. When we multiplied Sis, the answer was tvw e S, which means that (a, s) = (c, u). Because of this, there is an equal relationship. Let's call the set of equivalence classes S - 1 A, and we'll use the notation afs to talk about the equivalence class that consists of (a, s). We can give the set S - 1 A the structure of a ring by defining addition and multiplication of these "fractions" afs in the same way that they are defined in elementary algebra.

$$(a/s) + (b/t) = (at + bs)/st,$$
$$(a/s)(b/t) = ab/st.$$

Exercise. Verify that s - 1A is an identity-preserving commutative ring, and that the aforementioned definitions hold true independent of the representatives' preferences (a, s). In addition, verify that s - 1A is a commutative ring with identity (b, t).

An alternative notation for the ring homomorphism is the function f(x) = x1/1, which looks like A-+ s-1A. Simply put, this is not an injection method. Remark. Fractional fields of A are expressed as s-1A only if A is an integration domain and S = A - 0.

4. Cohomology Theories in Algebraic Geometry.

In the early 1950s, O. Zariski and others rethought the basics of algebraic geometry by reevaluating the function played by algebras of regular functions. Serre made the observation in his seminal paper [Se55] that finitely produced R-modules are equivalent to coherent sheaves of modules on U if and only if U is affine, and the coordinate ring R is a ring. It was his insight into the connection between the two module kinds that prompted this remark. The localization of modules maps to the limiting to an affine open V of U, hence this operation is an exact functor on coherent modules. Cech cohomology H q (U, F) disappears if and only if both F and U are coherent and affine. Serre used this to characterise the Cech cohomology with respect to a covering of X by affine open subvarieties U, which are the groups of cohomology Hq (X, F) associated with a coherent module on any variety X. The affine open subvarieties were employed as a covering of X to get this result. While the 1948–1950 Cartan Seminars on Sheaf Theory provided the initial impetus, the homological foundations created by Cartan–Eilenberg made Serre's presentation in terms of the Zariski topology far more accessible. Whether or not the Zariski topology is a good way to approach cohomology was settled once and for all by Serre's proof in [GAGA] that the groups Hq (X, F) are the same as the analytically defined Betti cohomology if X is a projective variety over C. For the Zariski topology, Grothendieck had already created in [G57] a derived functor sheaf cohomology, which allowed him to make the connection between Serre's construction and this cohomology. Zariski's theory of coherent sheaves on a scheme is discussed in Chapter III of [EGA]. In this model, a morphism f: X Y is associated with the right derived functors Rf. In [EGA, 0III], Grothendieck provided the groundwork for this development with a primer on spectral sequences and hypercohomology. Foregrounding this was a must. Algebraic geometers now have much easier access to tools that were previously only available to a narrow demographic.

5. Homology based on a cycle.

We have already presented a complete description of the development of the Hochschild homology of an algebra A over a field k starting in 1945 [Hh45]. Step two involved thinking of A as a commutative algebra over an arbitrary ring k. Since his initial publication on the topic was published in 1956 (Hh56), Hochschild has been painstakingly studying the exact sequences of k-split R-modules (split as sequences of k-modules). This became standard practise in "relative" homological algebra. In their 1962 study [HKR], Hochschild, Kostant, and Rosenberg proved that if and only if A is smooth of finite type over the field k, then there exists an intrinsic isomorphism between A and H. (A, A). It follows that a special case of de Rham's operator for manifolds, d: n A n+1 A, can be applied to this type of A. It was generalised by Rinehart [R63] who constructed a chain map B that induces an operator HHn(A, A) Hn+1 for any algebra (A, A). Attempting to create a concept similar to de Rham cohomology, Rinehart. Twentieth-century mathematicians Alain Connes [C85] and Feigin and Tsygan [T83, FT] would independently use B as the cornerstone of cyclic homology, however, completely blind to Rinehart's contributions. At the end of our journey through the system, we discuss a significant application that was uncovered by Gerstenhaber in his 1964 paper [G64]. One can modify an associative algebra A by finding a k-algebra structure on the k-module A[[t]] such that the product on A agrees modulo t with the given product. This step is essential for algebraic deformation. The "infinitesimal" portion of the deformation is revealed in the same way that an element of H2 is revealed since the result of a reduction of a deformation modulo t 2 is an extension of A by A in the k-split algebra (A, A). Gerstenhaber showed that the algebraic constant A cannot be deformed by the Hochschild cohomology group H3. (A, A). According to the Hochschild-Kostant Rosenberg theorem, the obstacles can only exist on a smooth manifold of finite dimension, hence the space k3 A is the only possible location for them.

6. Integral Dependence and Valuations

Students of traditional algebraic geometry would often project curves onto a line and think of the curve as a (branched) covering of the line in order to better grasp curves. This was done in order to better comprehend curves. In a similar manner, the concept of integral dependency is present in both the connections between the rings of integers in a number field and the connections between the rings of rationals in a rational field. This chapter demonstrates a number of findings pertaining to the integral dependency of functions. As a particular illustration, we prove Cohen-theorems of Seidenberg's on prime ideals in an integral extension. These theorems are also known as the "going-up" and "going-down" theorems. As we progress through the tasks, we will eventually enter the framework of algebraic geometry, more specifically the Normalization Lemma. The topic of valuations is also touched on briefly.

7. Connection to the Entire Program

Take into account ring B and a subring A of ring B. (so that I e A). It is said that an element x of B is integral over A if it is able to solve an equation in which the variables a1 represent elements of A. It is abundantly evident that all constituents of A are necessary to the operation of the whole.

$$x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$$

8. Conclusion

In algebraic geometry, one of the most important ideas to understand is the dimension of a variety. There is a somewhat sound theory of dimension for universal Noetherian local rings, which is convenient given that this is essentially a local idea. According to the central theorem, there is no substantial difference between the three different alternatives to the way size is measured. Two of these formulations may be understood geometrically, while the third, which involves the Hilbert function, is more esoteric. Incorporating it at an early stage, on the other hand, confers considerable technical benefits and makes the entire theory more straightforward. After we have completed our work with dimensions, we will then go on to a brief discussion of regular local rings. These rings are the non-singularity analogue in algebraic geometry. We demonstrate that three separate concepts of regularity are equal to one another. In the case of algebraic varieties over a field, we demonstrate, as a last step but certainly not the least important one, that the local dimensions that we have outlined coincide with the transcendence degree of the function field.

Reference

[1]. S. Morey, E. Reyes and R. H. Villarreal, Cohen-Macaulay, shellable and unmixed clutters with a perfect matching of K¨onig type, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), no. 7, 1770–1786.

[2]. V. I. Voloshin, Coloring mixed hypergraphs: theory, algorithms and applications, Fields Institute Monographs 17, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[3]. R. Woodroofe, Chordal and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay clutters. Preprint, 2009, arXiv:0911.4697v2.

[4]. R. Woodroofe, Vertex decomposable graphs and obstructions to shellability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 3235–3246.

[5]. F. Waldhausen, Algebraic K-theory of spaces I, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 32, 1978, pp. 35–60.

[6]. Smith, K. E. and Van den Bergh, M., Simplicity of rings of differential operators in prime characteristic, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 75 (1997), no. 1, 32–62.

[7]. Hara, N. and Yoshida, K., A generalization of tight closure and multiplier ideals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), no.8, 3143–3174.

[8]. S. Eilenberg and J. Zilber, Semi-simplicial complexes and singular homology, Annals of Math. 51 (1950), 499–513.

[9]. D. Anderson, Relationship among K-theories, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 341, Springer Verlag, 1973, pp. 57–72.